Balls in Bins.

Balls in Bins. Birthday.

Balls in Bins.

Birthday. Coupon Collector.

Balls in Bins.

Birthday. Coupon Collector. Load balancing.

Balls in Bins.

Birthday. Coupon Collector. Load balancing.

Balls in Bins.

Birthday. Coupon Collector. Load balancing.

Geometric Distribution: Memoryless property.

Balls in Bins.

Birthday. Coupon Collector. Load balancing.

Geometric Distribution: Memoryless property. Poission Distribution: Sum of two Poission is Poission. pause

Balls in Bins.

Birthday. Coupon Collector. Load balancing.

Geometric Distribution: Memoryless property. Poission Distribution: Sum of two Poission is Poission. pause

Tail Sum for Expectation.

Balls in Bins.

Birthday. Coupon Collector. Load balancing.

Geometric Distribution: Memoryless property. Poission Distribution: Sum of two Poission is Poission. pause

Tail Sum for Expectation.

Regression (optional.)

One throws *m* balls into n > m bins.

One throws *m* balls into n > m bins.



One throws *m* balls into n > m bins.



One throws *m* balls into n > m bins.



Theorem: $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-\frac{m^2}{2n}\}, \text{ for large enough } n.$

Theorem: $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-\frac{m^2}{2n}\}, \text{ for large enough } n.$

Theorem:

 $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-\frac{m^2}{2n}\}, \text{ for large enough } n.$



Theorem: $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-\frac{m^2}{2n}\}, \text{ for large enough } n.$

Theorem: $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-\frac{m^2}{2n}\}$, for large enough *n*.

In particular, $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx 1/2$ for $m^2/(2n) \approx \ln(2)$, i.e.,

 $m \approx \sqrt{2\ln(2)n} \approx 1.2\sqrt{n}.$

Theorem: $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-\frac{m^2}{2n}\}$, for large enough *n*.

In particular, $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx 1/2$ for $m^2/(2n) \approx \ln(2)$, i.e.,

$$m \approx \sqrt{2\ln(2)n} \approx 1.2\sqrt{n}.$$

E.g., $1.2\sqrt{20} \approx 5.4$.

Theorem: $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-\frac{m^2}{2n}\}, \text{ for large enough } n.$

In particular, $Pr[no \text{ collision}] \approx 1/2$ for $m^2/(2n) \approx \ln(2)$, i.e.,

$$m \approx \sqrt{2\ln(2)n} \approx 1.2\sqrt{n}.$$

E.g., $1.2\sqrt{20} \approx 5.4$.

Roughly, *Pr*[collision] $\approx 1/2$ for $m = \sqrt{n}$.

Theorem: $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-\frac{m^2}{2n}\}$, for large enough *n*.

In particular, $Pr[no \text{ collision}] \approx 1/2$ for $m^2/(2n) \approx \ln(2)$, i.e.,

$$m \approx \sqrt{2\ln(2)n} \approx 1.2\sqrt{n}.$$

E.g., $1.2\sqrt{20} \approx 5.4$.

Roughly, $Pr[collision] \approx 1/2$ for $m = \sqrt{n}$. $(e^{-0.5} \approx 0.6.)$

 A_i = no collision when *i*th ball is placed in a bin.

 A_i = no collision when *i*th ball is placed in a bin.

 $Pr[A_i|A_{i-1}\cap\cdots\cap A_1]=(1-\frac{i-1}{n}).$

 A_i = no collision when *i*th ball is placed in a bin.

 $Pr[A_i|A_{i-1}\cap\cdots\cap A_1]=(1-\frac{i-1}{n}).$

no collision = $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_m$.

 A_i = no collision when *i*th ball is placed in a bin.

 $Pr[A_i|A_{i-1}\cap\cdots\cap A_1]=(1-\frac{i-1}{n}).$

no collision = $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_m$.

Product rule:

 A_i = no collision when *i*th ball is placed in a bin.

 $Pr[A_i|A_{i-1}\cap\cdots\cap A_1]=(1-\frac{i-1}{n}).$

no collision = $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_m$.

Product rule:

 $Pr[A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_m] = Pr[A_1]Pr[A_2|A_1] \cdots Pr[A_m|A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_{m-1}]$

 A_i = no collision when *i*th ball is placed in a bin.

 $Pr[A_i|A_{i-1}\cap\cdots\cap A_1] = (1 - \frac{i-1}{n}).$ no collision = $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_m$.

Product rule:

$$Pr[A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m] = Pr[A_1]Pr[A_2|A_1] \cdots Pr[A_m|A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{m-1}]$$

$$\Rightarrow Pr[\text{no collision}] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{n}\right).$$

 A_i = no collision when *i*th ball is placed in a bin.

 $Pr[A_i|A_{i-1}\cap\cdots\cap A_1] = (1 - \frac{i-1}{n}).$ no collision = $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_m$.

Product rule:

$$Pr[A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_m] = Pr[A_1]Pr[A_2|A_1]\cdots Pr[A_m|A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_{m-1}]$$

$$\Rightarrow Pr[\text{no collision}] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\cdots \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{n}\right).$$

$$\ln(\Pr[\text{no collision}]) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \ln(1 - \frac{k}{n})$$

 A_i = no collision when *i*th ball is placed in a bin.

 $Pr[A_i|A_{i-1}\cap\cdots\cap A_1] = (1 - \frac{i-1}{n}).$ no collision = $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_m$.

Product rule:

$$Pr[A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m] = Pr[A_1]Pr[A_2|A_1] \cdots Pr[A_m|A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{m-1}]$$

$$\Rightarrow Pr[\text{no collision}] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{n}\right).$$

$$\ln(\Pr[\text{no collision}]) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \ln(1-\frac{k}{n}) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-\frac{k}{n})^{(*)}$$

 A_i = no collision when *i*th ball is placed in a bin.

 $Pr[A_i|A_{i-1}\cap\cdots\cap A_1] = (1 - \frac{i-1}{n}).$ no collision = $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_m$.

Product rule:

$$Pr[A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m] = Pr[A_1]Pr[A_2|A_1] \cdots Pr[A_m|A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{m-1}]$$

$$\Rightarrow Pr[\text{no collision}] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{n}\right).$$

$$\ln(\Pr[\text{no collision}]) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \ln(1 - \frac{k}{n}) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-\frac{k}{n})^{(*)}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{n} \frac{m(m-1)}{2}^{(\dagger)} \approx$$

 A_i = no collision when *i*th ball is placed in a bin.

 $Pr[A_i|A_{i-1}\cap\cdots\cap A_1] = (1 - \frac{i-1}{n}).$ no collision = $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_m$.

Product rule:

$$Pr[A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m] = Pr[A_1]Pr[A_2|A_1] \cdots Pr[A_m|A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{m-1}]$$

$$\Rightarrow Pr[\text{no collision}] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{n}\right).$$

$$\ln(\Pr[\text{no collision}]) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \ln(1 - \frac{k}{n}) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-\frac{k}{n})^{(*)}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{n} \frac{m(m-1)}{2}^{(\dagger)} \approx -\frac{m^2}{2n}$$

 A_i = no collision when *i*th ball is placed in a bin.

 $Pr[A_i|A_{i-1} \cap \dots \cap A_1] = (1 - \frac{i-1}{n}).$ no collision = $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m$.

Product rule:

$$Pr[A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_m] = Pr[A_1]Pr[A_2|A_1] \cdots Pr[A_m|A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_{m-1}]$$

$$\Rightarrow Pr[\text{no collision}] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{n}\right).$$

Hence,

$$\ln(\Pr[\text{no collision}]) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \ln(1 - \frac{k}{n}) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-\frac{k}{n})^{(*)}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{n} \frac{m(m-1)}{2}^{(\dagger)} \approx -\frac{m^2}{2n}$$

(*) We used $\ln(1-\varepsilon) \approx -\varepsilon$ for $|\varepsilon| \ll 1$.

 A_i = no collision when *i*th ball is placed in a bin.

 $Pr[A_i|A_{i-1} \cap \dots \cap A_1] = (1 - \frac{i-1}{n}).$ no collision = $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m$.

Product rule:

$$Pr[A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m] = Pr[A_1]Pr[A_2|A_1] \cdots Pr[A_m|A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{m-1}]$$

$$\Rightarrow Pr[\text{no collision}] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{n}\right).$$

Hence,

$$\ln(\Pr[\text{no collision}]) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \ln(1 - \frac{k}{n}) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-\frac{k}{n})^{(*)}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{n} \frac{m(m-1)}{2}^{(\dagger)} \approx -\frac{m^2}{2n}$$

(*) We used $\ln(1-\varepsilon) \approx -\varepsilon$ for $|\varepsilon| \ll 1$. (†) $1+2+\cdots+m-1 = (m-1)m/2$.

Approximation



Approximation



Approximation


Probability that *m* people all have different birthdays?

Probability that *m* people all have different birthdays? With n = 365, one finds

Probability that *m* people all have different birthdays? With n = 365, one finds

 $Pr[collision] \approx 1/2$ if $m \approx 1.2\sqrt{365} \approx 23$.

Probability that *m* people all have different birthdays? With n = 365, one finds

 $Pr[collision] \approx 1/2$ if $m \approx 1.2\sqrt{365} \approx 23$.

If m = 60, we find that

Probability that *m* people all have different birthdays? With n = 365, one finds

 $Pr[collision] \approx 1/2$ if $m \approx 1.2\sqrt{365} \approx 23$.

If m = 60, we find that

$$Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-\frac{m^2}{2n}\} = \exp\{-\frac{60^2}{2 \times 365}\} \approx 0.007.$$

Probability that *m* people all have different birthdays? With n = 365, one finds

 $Pr[collision] \approx 1/2$ if $m \approx 1.2\sqrt{365} \approx 23$.

If m = 60, we find that

$$Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-\frac{m^2}{2n}\} = \exp\{-\frac{60^2}{2 \times 365}\} \approx 0.007.$$

If m = 366, then Pr[no collision] =

Probability that *m* people all have different birthdays? With n = 365, one finds

 $Pr[collision] \approx 1/2$ if $m \approx 1.2\sqrt{365} \approx 23$.

If m = 60, we find that

$$Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-\frac{m^2}{2n}\} = \exp\{-\frac{60^2}{2 \times 365}\} \approx 0.007.$$

If m = 366, then Pr[no collision] = 0. (No approximation here!)

Experiment: *m* balls into *n* bins uniformly at random.

Experiment: *m* balls into *n* bins uniformly at random.

Random Variable:

X = Number of collisions between pairs of balls.

Experiment: *m* balls into *n* bins uniformly at random.

Random Variable:

X = Number of collisions between pairs of balls.

or number of pairs *i* and *j* where ball *i* and ball *j* are in same bin.

Experiment: *m* balls into *n* bins uniformly at random.

Random Variable:

X = Number of collisions between pairs of balls.

or number of pairs *i* and *j* where ball *i* and ball *j* are in same bin.

 $X_{ij} = 1$ {balls *i*, *j* in same bin}

Experiment: *m* balls into *n* bins uniformly at random.

Random Variable:

X = Number of collisions between pairs of balls.

or number of pairs *i* and *j* where ball *i* and ball *j* are in same bin.

 $X_{ij} = 1$ {balls *i*, *j* in same bin}

 $X = \sum_{ij} X_{ij}$

Experiment: *m* balls into *n* bins uniformly at random.

Random Variable:

X = Number of collisions between pairs of balls.

or number of pairs *i* and *j* where ball *i* and ball *j* are in same bin.

 $X_{ij} = 1$ {balls *i*, *j* in same bin}

 $X = \sum_{ij} X_{ij}$

 $E[X_{ij}] = Pr[balls i, j \text{ in same bin}] = \frac{1}{n}.$

Experiment: *m* balls into *n* bins uniformly at random.

Random Variable:

X = Number of collisions between pairs of balls.

or number of pairs *i* and *j* where ball *i* and ball *j* are in same bin.

 $X_{ij} = 1$ {balls *i*, *j* in same bin}

 $X = \sum_{ij} X_{ij}$

 $E[X_{ij}] = Pr[\text{balls } i, j \text{ in same bin}] = \frac{1}{n}.$

Ball *i* in some bin, ball *j* chooses that bin with probability 1/n.

Experiment: *m* balls into *n* bins uniformly at random.

Random Variable:

X = Number of collisions between pairs of balls.

or number of pairs *i* and *j* where ball *i* and ball *j* are in same bin.

 $X_{ij} = 1$ {balls *i*, *j* in same bin}

 $X = \sum_{ij} X_{ij}$

 $E[X_{ij}] = Pr[\text{balls } i, j \text{ in same bin}] = \frac{1}{n}.$

Ball *i* in some bin, ball *j* chooses that bin with probability 1/n.

 $E[X] = \frac{m(m-1)}{2n} \approx \frac{m^2}{2n}.$

Experiment: *m* balls into *n* bins uniformly at random.

Random Variable:

X = Number of collisions between pairs of balls.

or number of pairs *i* and *j* where ball *i* and ball *j* are in same bin.

 $X_{ij} = 1$ {balls *i*, *j* in same bin}

 $X = \sum_{ij} X_{ij}$

 $E[X_{ij}] = Pr[\text{balls } i, j \text{ in same bin}] = \frac{1}{n}.$

Ball *i* in some bin, ball *j* chooses that bin with probability 1/n.

 $E[X] = \frac{m(m-1)}{2n} \approx \frac{m^2}{2n}.$ For $m = \sqrt{n}$, E[X] = 1/2

Experiment: *m* balls into *n* bins uniformly at random.

Random Variable:

X = Number of collisions between pairs of balls.

or number of pairs *i* and *j* where ball *i* and ball *j* are in same bin.

 $X_{ij} = 1$ {balls *i*, *j* in same bin}

 $X = \sum_{ij} X_{ij}$

 $E[X_{ij}] = Pr[\text{balls } i, j \text{ in same bin}] = \frac{1}{n}.$

Ball *i* in some bin, ball *j* chooses that bin with probability 1/n.

 $E[X] = \frac{m(m-1)}{2n} \approx \frac{m^2}{2n}.$ For $m = \sqrt{n}$, E[X] = 1/2Markov: $Pr[X \ge c] \le \frac{EX}{c}.$

Experiment: *m* balls into *n* bins uniformly at random.

Random Variable:

X = Number of collisions between pairs of balls.

or number of pairs *i* and *j* where ball *i* and ball *j* are in same bin.

 $X_{ij} = 1$ {balls *i*, *j* in same bin}

 $X = \sum_{ij} X_{ij}$

 $E[X_{ij}] = Pr[\text{balls } i, j \text{ in same bin}] = \frac{1}{n}.$

Ball *i* in some bin, ball *j* chooses that bin with probability 1/n.

 $E[X] = \frac{m(m-1)}{2n} \approx \frac{m^2}{2n}.$ For $m = \sqrt{n}$, E[X] = 1/2Markov: $Pr[X \ge c] \le \frac{EX}{c}.$ $Pr[X \ge 1] \le \frac{E[X]}{1} = 1/2.$

Consider a set of *m* files.

Consider a set of m files. Each file has a checksum of b bits.

Consider a set of *m* files.

Each file has a checksum of *b* bits.

How large should *b* be for *Pr*[share a checksum] $\leq 10^{-3}$?

Consider a set of *m* files. Each file has a checksum of *b* bits. How large should *b* be for $Pr[\text{share a checksum}] \le 10^{-3}$?

Claim: $b \ge 2.9 \ln(m) + 9$.

Consider a set of *m* files. Each file has a checksum of *b* bits. How large should *b* be for $Pr[\text{share a checksum}] \le 10^{-3}$?

```
Claim: b \ge 2.9 \ln(m) + 9.
```

Proof:

Consider a set of *m* files. Each file has a checksum of *b* bits. How large should *b* be for $Pr[\text{share a checksum}] \le 10^{-3}$?

Claim: $b \ge 2.9 \ln(m) + 9$.

Proof:

Let $n = 2^b$ be the number of checksums.

Consider a set of *m* files. Each file has a checksum of *b* bits. How large should *b* be for $Pr[\text{share a checksum}] \le 10^{-3}$?

Claim: $b \ge 2.9 \ln(m) + 9$.

Proof:

Let $n = 2^b$ be the number of checksums. We know $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-m^2/(2n)\}$

Consider a set of *m* files. Each file has a checksum of *b* bits. How large should *b* be for $Pr[\text{share a checksum}] \le 10^{-3}$?

Claim: $b \ge 2.9 \ln(m) + 9$.

Proof:

Consider a set of *m* files. Each file has a checksum of *b* bits. How large should *b* be for $Pr[\text{share a checksum}] \le 10^{-3}$?

Claim: $b \ge 2.9 \ln(m) + 9$.

Proof:

Let $n = 2^b$ be the number of checksums. We know $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-m^2/(2n)\} \approx 1 - m^2/(2n)$. Hence,

 $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx 1 - 10^{-3}$

Consider a set of *m* files. Each file has a checksum of *b* bits. How large should *b* be for $Pr[\text{share a checksum}] \le 10^{-3}$?

Claim: $b \ge 2.9 \ln(m) + 9$.

Proof:

$$Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx 1 - 10^{-3} \Leftrightarrow m^2/(2n) \approx 10^{-3}$$

Consider a set of *m* files. Each file has a checksum of *b* bits. How large should *b* be for $Pr[\text{share a checksum}] \le 10^{-3}$?

Claim: $b \ge 2.9 \ln(m) + 9$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Pr}[\text{no collision}] \approx 1 - 10^{-3} \Leftrightarrow m^2/(2n) \approx 10^{-3} \\ & \Leftrightarrow 2n \approx m^2 10^3 \end{aligned}$$

Consider a set of *m* files. Each file has a checksum of *b* bits. How large should *b* be for $Pr[\text{share a checksum}] \le 10^{-3}$?

Claim: $b \ge 2.9 \ln(m) + 9$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Pr}[\text{no collision}] \approx 1 - 10^{-3} \Leftrightarrow m^2/(2n) \approx 10^{-3} \\ & \Leftrightarrow 2n \approx m^2 10^3 \Leftrightarrow 2^{b+1} \approx m^2 2^{10} \end{aligned}$$

Consider a set of *m* files. Each file has a checksum of *b* bits. How large should *b* be for $Pr[\text{share a checksum}] \le 10^{-3}$?

Claim: $b \ge 2.9 \ln(m) + 9$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Pr}[\text{no collision}] \approx 1 - 10^{-3} \Leftrightarrow m^2/(2n) \approx 10^{-3} \\ & \Leftrightarrow 2n \approx m^2 10^3 \Leftrightarrow 2^{b+1} \approx m^2 2^{10} \\ & \Leftrightarrow b+1 \approx 10 + 2\log_2(m) \end{aligned}$$

Consider a set of *m* files. Each file has a checksum of *b* bits. How large should *b* be for $Pr[\text{share a checksum}] \le 10^{-3}$?

Claim: $b \ge 2.9 \ln(m) + 9$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Pr}[\text{no collision}] \approx 1 - 10^{-3} \Leftrightarrow m^2/(2n) \approx 10^{-3} \\ & \Leftrightarrow 2n \approx m^2 10^3 \Leftrightarrow 2^{b+1} \approx m^2 2^{10} \\ & \Leftrightarrow b+1 \approx 10 + 2\log_2(m) \approx 10 + 2.9\ln(m). \end{aligned}$$

Consider a set of *m* files. Each file has a checksum of *b* bits. How large should *b* be for $Pr[\text{share a checksum}] \le 10^{-3}$?

Claim: $b \ge 2.9 \ln(m) + 9$.

Proof:

Let $n = 2^b$ be the number of checksums. We know $Pr[\text{no collision}] \approx \exp\{-m^2/(2n)\} \approx 1 - m^2/(2n)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Pr}[\text{no collision}] \approx 1 - 10^{-3} \Leftrightarrow m^2/(2n) \approx 10^{-3} \\ & \Leftrightarrow 2n \approx m^2 10^3 \Leftrightarrow 2^{b+1} \approx m^2 2^{10} \\ & \Leftrightarrow b+1 \approx 10 + 2\log_2(m) \approx 10 + 2.9\ln(m). \end{aligned}$$

Note: $\log_2(x) = \log_2(e) \ln(x) \approx 1.44 \ln(x)$.

Coupon Collector Problem.

There are *n* different baseball cards. (Brian Wilson, Jackie Robinson, Roger Hornsby, ...)

Coupon Collector Problem.

There are *n* different baseball cards. (Brian Wilson, Jackie Robinson, Roger Hornsby, ...)

One random baseball card in each cereal box.
There are *n* different baseball cards. (Brian Wilson, Jackie Robinson, Roger Hornsby, ...)

One random baseball card in each cereal box.



There are *n* different baseball cards. (Brian Wilson, Jackie Robinson, Roger Hornsby, ...)

One random baseball card in each cereal box.



Theorem:

There are *n* different baseball cards. (Brian Wilson, Jackie Robinson, Roger Hornsby, ...)

One random baseball card in each cereal box.



Theorem: If you buy *m* boxes,

There are *n* different baseball cards. (Brian Wilson, Jackie Robinson, Roger Hornsby, ...)

One random baseball card in each cereal box.



Theorem: If you buy *m* boxes, (a) $Pr[miss one specific item] \approx e^{-\frac{m}{n}}$

There are *n* different baseball cards. (Brian Wilson, Jackie Robinson, Roger Hornsby, ...)

One random baseball card in each cereal box.



Theorem: If you buy *m* boxes,

- (a) $Pr[miss one specific item] \approx e^{-\frac{m}{n}}$
- (b) $Pr[\text{miss any one of the items}] \le ne^{-\frac{m}{n}}$.

Event A_m = 'fail to get Brian Wilson in *m* cereal boxes'

Event A_m = 'fail to get Brian Wilson in *m* cereal boxes' Fail the first time: $(1 - \frac{1}{n})$

Event A_m = 'fail to get Brian Wilson in *m* cereal boxes' Fail the first time: $(1 - \frac{1}{n})$ Fail the second time: $(1 - \frac{1}{n})$

$$Pr[A_m] = (1-\frac{1}{n}) \times \cdots \times (1-\frac{1}{n})$$

$$Pr[A_m] = (1-\frac{1}{n}) \times \cdots \times (1-\frac{1}{n})$$
$$= (1-\frac{1}{n})^m$$

$$Pr[A_m] = (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \times \dots \times (1 - \frac{1}{n})$$
$$= (1 - \frac{1}{n})^m$$
$$ln(Pr[A_m]) = m \ln(1 - \frac{1}{n}) \approx$$

$$Pr[A_m] = (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \times \dots \times (1 - \frac{1}{n})$$
$$= (1 - \frac{1}{n})^m$$
$$ln(Pr[A_m]) = m \ln(1 - \frac{1}{n}) \approx m \times (-\frac{1}{n})$$

$$Pr[A_m] = (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \times \dots \times (1 - \frac{1}{n})$$
$$= (1 - \frac{1}{n})^m$$
$$ln(Pr[A_m]) = mln(1 - \frac{1}{n}) \approx m \times (-\frac{1}{n})$$
$$Pr[A_m] \approx exp\{-\frac{m}{n}\}.$$

Event A_m = 'fail to get Brian Wilson in *m* cereal boxes' Fail the first time: $(1 - \frac{1}{n})$ Fail the second time: $(1 - \frac{1}{n})$ And so on ... for *m* times. Hence,

$$Pr[A_m] = (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \times \dots \times (1 - \frac{1}{n})$$
$$= (1 - \frac{1}{n})^m$$
$$ln(Pr[A_m]) = mln(1 - \frac{1}{n}) \approx m \times (-\frac{1}{n})$$
$$Pr[A_m] \approx exp\{-\frac{m}{n}\}.$$

For $p_m = \frac{1}{2}$, we need around $n \ln 2 \approx 0.69n$ boxes.

Experiment: Choose *m* cards at random with replacement.

Experiment: Choose *m* cards at random with replacement. Events: E_k = 'fail to get player k', for k = 1, ..., n

Experiment: Choose *m* cards at random with replacement. Events: E_k = 'fail to get player k', for k = 1, ..., n

Experiment: Choose *m* cards at random with replacement. Events: E_k = 'fail to get player k', for k = 1, ..., n Probability of failing to get at least one of these *n* players:

 $p := \Pr[E_1 \cup E_2 \cdots \cup E_n]$

Experiment: Choose *m* cards at random with replacement. Events: E_k = 'fail to get player k', for k = 1, ..., n Probability of failing to get at least one of these *n* players:

$$p := \Pr[E_1 \cup E_2 \cdots \cup E_n]$$

How does one estimate *p*?

Experiment: Choose *m* cards at random with replacement. Events: E_k = 'fail to get player k', for k = 1, ..., n Probability of failing to get at least one of these *n* players:

$$p := \Pr[E_1 \cup E_2 \cdots \cup E_n]$$

How does one estimate *p*? Union Bound:

 $\rho = \Pr[E_1 \cup E_2 \cdots \cup E_n] \leq \Pr[E_1] + \Pr[E_2] \cdots \Pr[E_n].$

Experiment: Choose *m* cards at random with replacement. Events: E_k = 'fail to get player k', for k = 1, ..., n Probability of failing to get at least one of these *n* players:

$$\rho := \Pr[E_1 \cup E_2 \cdots \cup E_n]$$

How does one estimate *p*? Union Bound:

$$\rho = \Pr[E_1 \cup E_2 \cdots \cup E_n] \leq \Pr[E_1] + \Pr[E_2] \cdots \Pr[E_n].$$

$$Pr[E_k] \approx e^{-\frac{m}{n}}, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Experiment: Choose *m* cards at random with replacement. Events: E_k = 'fail to get player k', for k = 1, ..., n Probability of failing to get at least one of these *n* players:

$$p := \Pr[E_1 \cup E_2 \cdots \cup E_n]$$

How does one estimate *p*? Union Bound:

$$p = \Pr[E_1 \cup E_2 \cdots \cup E_n] \leq \Pr[E_1] + \Pr[E_2] \cdots \Pr[E_n].$$

$$Pr[E_k] \approx e^{-\frac{m}{n}}, k = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Plug in and get

$$p \leq ne^{-\frac{m}{n}}$$
.

Thus,

Pr[missing at least one card $] \le ne^{-\frac{m}{n}}.$

Thus,

$$Pr[$$
missing at least one card $] \le ne^{-\frac{m}{n}}$.

Hence,

$$Pr[$$
missing at least one card $] \le p$ when $m \ge n \ln(\frac{n}{p})$.

Thus,

$$Pr[$$
missing at least one card $] \le ne^{-\frac{m}{n}}$.

Hence,

$$Pr[$$
missing at least one card $] \le p$ when $m \ge n \ln(\frac{n}{p})$.

To get p = 1/2, set $m = n \ln (2n)$.

Thus,

$$Pr[$$
missing at least one card $] \le ne^{-\frac{m}{n}}$.

Hence,

$$Pr[$$
missing at least one card $] \le p$ when $m \ge n \ln(\frac{n}{p})$.

To get p = 1/2, set $m = n \ln (2n)$. $(p \le ne^{-\frac{m}{n}} \le ne^{-\ln(n/p)} \le n(\frac{p}{n}) \le p.)$

Thus,

$$Pr[$$
missing at least one card $] \le ne^{-\frac{m}{n}}$.

Hence,

$$Pr[$$
missing at least one card $] \le p$ when $m \ge n \ln(\frac{n}{p})$.

To get
$$p = 1/2$$
, set $m = n \ln (2n)$.
 $(p \le ne^{-\frac{m}{n}} \le ne^{-\ln(n/p)} \le n(\frac{p}{n}) \le p.)$
E.g., $n = 10^2 \Rightarrow m = 530$;

Thus,

$$Pr[$$
missing at least one card $] \le ne^{-\frac{m}{n}}$.

Hence,

$$Pr[$$
missing at least one card $] \le p$ when $m \ge n \ln(\frac{n}{p})$.

To get
$$p = 1/2$$
, set $m = n \ln (2n)$.
 $(p \le ne^{-\frac{m}{n}} \le ne^{-\ln(n/p)} \le n(\frac{p}{n}) \le p.)$
E.g., $n = 10^2 \Rightarrow m = 530; n = 10^3 \Rightarrow m = 7600.$

X-time to get *n* coupons.

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon.

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$.

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

X-time to get *n* coupons.

- X_1 time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.
- X_2 time to get second coupon after getting first.

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

"]

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk
X-time to get *n* coupons.

- X_1 time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.
- X_2 time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

 $Pr["get second coupon"]"got milk first coupon"] = \frac{n-1}{n}$

 $E[X_2]?$

X-time to get n coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

 $E[X_2]$? Geometric

X-time to get n coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

 $E[X_2]$? Geometric !

X-time to get n coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

E[*X*₂]? Geometric ! !

X-time to get n coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

```
E[X<sub>2</sub>]? Geometric !!!
```

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

 $E[X_2]$? Geometric ! ! ! $\implies E[X_2] = \frac{1}{\rho} =$

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

 $Pr["get second coupon"]"got milk first coupon"] = \frac{n-1}{n}$

 $E[X_2]$? Geometric ! ! ! $\implies E[X_2] = \frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{\rho}}$

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

$$E[X_2]$$
? Geometric !!! $\implies E[X_2] = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{p}} = \frac{n}{n-1}$.

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

$$E[X_2]$$
? Geometric ! ! ! $\implies E[X_2] = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{p}} = \frac{n}{n-1}$.

Pr["getting *i*th coupon|"got *i* - 1rst coupons"] = $\frac{n-(i-1)}{n} = \frac{n-i+1}{n}$

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

$$E[X_2]$$
? Geometric !!! $\implies E[X_2] = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{p}} = \frac{n}{n-1}$.

Pr["getting *i*th coupon|"got *i* – 1rst coupons"] = $\frac{n-(i-1)}{n} = \frac{n-i+1}{n}$ $E[X_i]$

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

$$E[X_2]$$
? Geometric !!! $\implies E[X_2] = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{p}} = \frac{n}{n-1}$.

Pr["getting *i*th coupon|"got *i* – 1rst coupons" $] = \frac{n-(i-1)}{n} = \frac{n-i+1}{n}$ $E[X_i] = \frac{1}{p}$

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

$$E[X_2]$$
? Geometric !!! $\implies E[X_2] = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{p}} = \frac{n}{n-1}$.

 $\begin{aligned} & Pr["getting$ *i*th coupon|"got*i* $- 1rst coupons"] = \frac{n - (i - 1)}{n} = \frac{n - i + 1}{n} \\ & E[X_i] = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{n}{n - i + 1}, \end{aligned}$

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

$$E[X_2]$$
? Geometric !!! $\implies E[X_2] = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{p}} = \frac{n}{n-1}$.

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

$$E[X_2]$$
? Geometric !!! $\implies E[X_2] = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{p}} = \frac{n}{n-1}$.

 $\begin{aligned} & Pr["getting ith coupon|"got i - 1rst coupons"] = \frac{n - (i - 1)}{n} = \frac{n - i + 1}{n} \\ & E[X_i] = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{n}{n - i + 1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$

 $E[X] = E[X_1] + \cdots + E[X_n] =$

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

$$E[X_2]$$
? Geometric !!! $\implies E[X_2] = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{p}} = \frac{n}{n-1}$.

$$E[X] = E[X_1] + \dots + E[X_n] = \frac{n}{n} + \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-2} + \dots + \frac{n}{1}$$

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

$$E[X_2]$$
? Geometric !!! $\implies E[X_2] = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{p}} = \frac{n}{n-1}$.

$$E[X] = E[X_1] + \dots + E[X_n] = \frac{n}{n} + \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-2} + \dots + \frac{n}{1}$$
$$= n(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}) =: nH(n)$$

X-time to get *n* coupons.

 X_1 - time to get first coupon. Note: $X_1 = 1$. $E(X_1) = 1$.

 X_2 - time to get second coupon after getting first.

Pr["get second coupon"|"got milk first coupon"] = $\frac{n-1}{n}$

$$E[X_2]$$
? Geometric !!! $\implies E[X_2] = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{p}} = \frac{n}{n-1}$.

$$E[X] = E[X_1] + \dots + E[X_n] = \frac{n}{n} + \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-2} + \dots + \frac{n}{1}$$
$$= n(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}) =: nH(n) \approx n(\ln n + \gamma)$$

Review: Harmonic sum

.

$$H(n) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \approx \int_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln(n).$$

Review: Harmonic sum

.

$$H(n) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \approx \int_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln(n).$$



Review: Harmonic sum

٠

$$H(n) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \approx \int_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln(n).$$



A good approximation is

 $H(n) \approx \ln(n) + \gamma$ where $\gamma \approx 0.58$ (Euler-Mascheroni constant).

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins.

Load balance: m balls in n bins. For simplicity: n balls in n bins.

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins. For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins. Round robin:

Load balance: m balls in n bins. For simplicity: n balls in n bins. Round robin: load 1

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins. For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins. Round robin: load 1 !

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins. For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins. Round robin: load 1 ! Centralized!

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins. For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins. Round robin: load 1 ! Centralized! Not so good.

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins. For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins. Round robin: load 1 ! Centralized! Not so good. Uniformly at random?

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins. For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins. Round robin: load 1 ! Centralized! Not so good. Uniformly at random? Average load

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins. For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins. Round robin: load 1 ! Centralized! Not so good. Uniformly at random? Average load 1.

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins. For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins. Round robin: load 1 ! Centralized! Not so good. Uniformly at random? Average load 1. Max load?

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins.

For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins.

Round robin: load 1 !

Centralized! Not so good.

Uniformly at random? Average load 1.

Max load?

n.

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins.

For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins.

Round robin: load 1 !

Centralized! Not so good.

Uniformly at random? Average load 1.

Max load?

n. Uh Oh!

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins.

For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins.

Round robin: load 1 !

Centralized! Not so good.

Uniformly at random? Average load 1.

Max load?

n. Uh Oh!

Max load with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$?

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins.

For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins.

Round robin: load 1 !

Centralized! Not so good.

Uniformly at random? Average load 1.

Max load?

n. Uh Oh!

Max load with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$?

$$\delta = rac{1}{n^c}$$
 for today.
Simplest..

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins.

For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins.

Round robin: load 1 !

Centralized! Not so good.

Uniformly at random? Average load 1.

Max load?

n. Uh Oh!

Max load with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$?

$$\delta = \frac{1}{n^c}$$
 for today. *c* is 1 or 2.

Simplest..

Load balance: *m* balls in *n* bins.

For simplicity: *n* balls in *n* bins.

Round robin: load 1 !

Centralized! Not so good.

Uniformly at random? Average load 1.

Max load?

n. Uh Oh!

Max load with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$?

$$\delta = \frac{1}{n^c}$$
 for today. *c* is 1 or 2.

For each of *n* balls, choose random bin:

For each of *n* balls, choose random bin: X_i balls in bin *i*.

For each of *n* balls, choose random bin: X_i balls in bin *i*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S|=k} Pr[$ balls in *S* chooses bin *i*]

For each of *n* balls, choose random bin: X_i balls in bin *i*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S|=k} Pr[$ balls in *S* chooses bin *i*]From Union Bound: $Pr[\cup_i A_i] \le \sum_i Pr[A_i]$

For each of *n* balls, choose random bin: X_i balls in bin *i*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S|=k} Pr[$ balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] From Union Bound: $Pr[\cup_i A_i] \le \sum_i Pr[A_i]$ Pr[balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] = $(\frac{1}{n})^k$

For each of *n* balls, choose random bin: X_i balls in bin *i*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S|=k} Pr[$ balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] From Union Bound: $Pr[\cup_i A_i] \le \sum_i Pr[A_i]$ Pr[balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] = $(\frac{1}{n})^k$ and $\binom{n}{k}$ subsets *S*.

For each of *n* balls, choose random bin: X_i balls in bin *i*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S| = k} Pr[$ balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] From Union Bound: $Pr[\cup_i A_i] \le \sum_i Pr[A_i]$ Pr[balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] = $(\frac{1}{n})^k$ and $\binom{n}{k}$ subsets *S*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k$ $\le \frac{n^k}{k!} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k = \frac{1}{k!}$

For each of *n* balls, choose random bin: X_i balls in bin *i*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S| = k} Pr[$ balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] From Union Bound: $Pr[\cup_i A_i] \le \sum_i Pr[A_i]$ Pr[balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] = $(\frac{1}{n})^k$ and $\binom{n}{k}$ subsets *S*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k$ $\le \frac{n^k}{k!} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k = \frac{1}{k!}$

Choose k, so that $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{n^2}$.

For each of *n* balls, choose random bin: X_i balls in bin *i*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S| = k} Pr[$ balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] From Union Bound: $Pr[\cup_i A_i] \le \sum_i Pr[A_i]$ Pr[balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] = $(\frac{1}{n})^k$ and $\binom{n}{k}$ subsets *S*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k$ $\le \frac{n^k}{k!} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k = \frac{1}{k!}$

Choose k, so that $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{n^2}$. $Pr[any X_i \ge k] \le n \times \frac{1}{n^2}$

For each of *n* balls, choose random bin: X_i balls in bin *i*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S| = k} Pr[$ balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] From Union Bound: $Pr[\cup_i A_i] \le \sum_i Pr[A_i]$ Pr[balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] = $(\frac{1}{n})^k$ and $\binom{n}{k}$ subsets *S*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k$ $\le \frac{n^k}{k!} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k = \frac{1}{k!}$

Choose k, so that $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{n^2}$. $Pr[any X_i \ge k] \le n \times \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{n}$

For each of *n* balls, choose random bin: X_i balls in bin *i*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S| = k} Pr[$ balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] From Union Bound: $Pr[\cup_i A_i] \le \sum_i Pr[A_i]$ Pr[balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] = $(\frac{1}{n})^k$ and $\binom{n}{k}$ subsets *S*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k$ $\le \frac{n^k}{k!} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k = \frac{1}{k!}$

Choose *k*, so that $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{n^2}$. $Pr[\text{any } X_i \ge k] \le n \times \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{n} \to \text{max load} \le k \text{ w.p.} \ge 1 - \frac{1}{n}$

For each of *n* balls, choose random bin: X_i balls in bin *i*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S| = k} Pr[$ balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] From Union Bound: $Pr[\cup_i A_i] \le \sum_i Pr[A_i]$ Pr[balls in *S* chooses bin *i*] = $(\frac{1}{n})^k$ and $\binom{n}{k}$ subsets *S*. $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k$ $\le \frac{n^k}{k!} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k = \frac{1}{k!}$

Choose *k*, so that $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{n^2}$. $Pr[\text{any } X_i \ge k] \le n \times \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{n} \to \text{max load} \le k \text{ w.p.} \ge 1 - \frac{1}{n}$

 $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{k!} \le 1/n^2$?

 $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{k!} \le 1/n^2$?

What is upper bound on max-load k?

 $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{k!} \le 1/n^2$?

What is upper bound on max-load k?

Lemma: Max load is $\Theta(\log n)$ with probability $\ge 1 - \frac{1}{n}$.

 $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{k!} \le 1/n^2$?

What is upper bound on max-load k?

Lemma: Max load is $\Theta(\log n)$ with probability $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. $k! \geq n^2$ for $k = 2e \log n$

 $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{k!} \le 1/n^2$?

What is upper bound on max-load k?

Lemma: Max load is $\Theta(\log n)$ with probability $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. $k! \geq n^2$ for $k = 2e \log n$ (Recall $k! \geq (\frac{k}{e})^k$.)

 $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{k!} \le 1/n^2$?

What is upper bound on max-load k?

Lemma: Max load is $\Theta(\log n)$ with probability $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. $k! \geq n^2$ for $k = 2e \log n$ (Recall $k! \geq (\frac{k}{e})^k$.) $\implies \frac{1}{k!} \leq (\frac{e}{k})^k \leq (\frac{1}{2\log n})^k$

 $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{k!} \le 1/n^2$?

What is upper bound on max-load k?

Lemma: Max load is $\Theta(\log n)$ with probability $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. $k! \geq n^2$ for $k = 2e \log n$ (Recall $k! \geq (\frac{k}{e})^k$.) $\implies \frac{1}{k!} \leq (\frac{e}{k})^k \leq (\frac{1}{2\log n})^k$ If $\log n \geq 1$, then $k = 2e \log n$ suffices.

 $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{k!} \le 1/n^2$?

What is upper bound on max-load k?

Lemma: Max load is $\Theta(\log n)$ with probability $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. $k! \geq n^2$ for $k = 2e \log n$ (Recall $k! \geq (\frac{k}{e})^k$.) $\implies \frac{1}{k!} \leq (\frac{e}{k})^k \leq (\frac{1}{2\log n})^k$ If $\log n \geq 1$, then $k = 2e \log n$ suffices.

Also: $k = \Theta(\log n / \log \log n)$ suffices as well.

 $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{k!} \le 1/n^2$?

What is upper bound on max-load k?

Lemma: Max load is $\Theta(\log n)$ with probability $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. $k! \geq n^2$ for $k = 2e \log n$ (Recall $k! \geq \left(\frac{k}{e}\right)^k$.) $\implies \frac{1}{k!} \leq \left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^k \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\log n}\right)^k$ If $\log n \geq 1$, then $k = 2e \log n$ suffices. Also: $k = \Theta(\log n / \log \log n)$ suffices as well. $k^k \rightarrow n^c$.

 $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{k!} \le 1/n^2$?

What is upper bound on max-load k?

Lemma: Max load is $\Theta(\log n)$ with probability $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. $k! \geq n^2$ for $k = 2e \log n$ (Recall $k! \geq (\frac{k}{e})^k$.) $\implies \frac{1}{k!} \leq (\frac{e}{k})^k \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\log n}\right)^k$ If $\log n \geq 1$, then $k = 2e \log n$ suffices. Also: $k = \Theta(\log n / \log \log n)$ suffices as well. $k^k \rightarrow n^c$.

Actually Max load is $\Theta(\log n / \log \log n)$ w.h.p.

 $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{k!} \le 1/n^2$?

What is upper bound on max-load k?

Lemma: Max load is $\Theta(\log n)$ with probability $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. $k! \geq n^2$ for $k = 2e \log n$ (Recall $k! \geq (\frac{k}{e})^k$.) $\implies \frac{1}{k!} \leq (\frac{e}{k})^k \leq (\frac{1}{2\log n})^k$ If $\log n \geq 1$, then $k = 2e \log n$ suffices. Also: $k = \Theta(\log n / \log \log n)$ suffices as well. $k^k \rightarrow n^c$.

Actually Max load is $\Theta(\log n / \log \log n)$ w.h.p.

(W.h.p. - means with probability at least $1 - O(1/n^c)$ for today.)

 $Pr[X_i \ge k] \le \frac{1}{k!} \le 1/n^2$?

What is upper bound on max-load k?

Lemma: Max load is $\Theta(\log n)$ with probability $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. $k! \geq n^2$ for $k = 2e \log n$ (Recall $k! \geq (\frac{k}{e})^k$.) $\implies \frac{1}{k!} \leq (\frac{e}{k})^k \leq (\frac{1}{2\log n})^k$ If $\log n \geq 1$, then $k = 2e \log n$ suffices. Also: $k = \Theta(\log n / \log \log n)$ suffices as well. $k^k \rightarrow n^c$.

Actually Max load is $\Theta(\log n / \log \log n)$ w.h.p.

(W.h.p. - means with probability at least $1 - O(1/n^c)$ for today.)

Better than variance based methods...

Let X be G(p). Then, for $n \ge 0$,

Let *X* be G(p). Then, for $n \ge 0$,

Pr[X > n] = Pr[first *n* flips are T] =

Let *X* be G(p). Then, for $n \ge 0$,

Pr[X > n] = Pr[first *n* flips are $T] = (1 - p)^n$.

Let *X* be G(p). Then, for $n \ge 0$,

$$Pr[X > n] = Pr[$$
 first *n* flips are $T] = (1 - p)^n$.

Theorem

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$

Let *X* be G(p). Then, for $n \ge 0$,

$$Pr[X > n] = Pr[$$
 first *n* flips are $T] = (1 - p)^n$.

Theorem

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$

$$\Pr[X > n + m | X > n] =$$

Let *X* be G(p). Then, for $n \ge 0$,

$$Pr[X > n] = Pr[$$
 first *n* flips are $T] = (1 - p)^n$.

Theorem

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = \frac{Pr[X > n + m \text{ and } X > n]}{Pr[X > n]}$$

Let *X* be G(p). Then, for $n \ge 0$,

$$Pr[X > n] = Pr[$$
 first *n* flips are $T] = (1 - p)^n$.

Theorem

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = \frac{Pr[X > n + m \text{ and } X > n]}{Pr[X > n]}$$
$$= \frac{Pr[X > n + m]}{Pr[X > n]}$$

Let *X* be G(p). Then, for $n \ge 0$,

$$Pr[X > n] = Pr[$$
 first *n* flips are $T] = (1 - p)^n$.

Theorem

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$

$$Pr[X > n+m|X > n] = \frac{Pr[X > n+m \text{ and } X > n]}{Pr[X > n]}$$
$$= \frac{Pr[X > n+m]}{Pr[X > n]}$$
$$= \frac{(1-p)^{n+m}}{(1-p)^n} =$$

Let *X* be G(p). Then, for $n \ge 0$,

$$Pr[X > n] = Pr[$$
 first *n* flips are $T] = (1 - p)^n$.

Theorem

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$

$$Pr[X > n+m|X > n] = \frac{Pr[X > n+m \text{ and } X > n]}{Pr[X > n]}$$
$$= \frac{Pr[X > n+m]}{Pr[X > n]}$$
$$= \frac{(1-p)^{n+m}}{(1-p)^n} = (1-p)^m$$

Let *X* be G(p). Then, for $n \ge 0$,

$$Pr[X > n] = Pr[$$
 first *n* flips are $T] = (1 - p)^n$.

Theorem

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$

$$Pr[X > n+m|X > n] = \frac{Pr[X > n+m \text{ and } X > n]}{Pr[X > n]}$$
$$= \frac{Pr[X > n+m]}{Pr[X > n]}$$
$$= \frac{(1-p)^{n+m}}{(1-p)^n} = (1-p)^m$$
$$= Pr[X > m].$$
$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$



$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$



Pr[X > n + m|X > n] = Pr[A|B] = Pr[A] = Pr[X > m].

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$



Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[A|B] = Pr[A] = Pr[X > m].The coin is memoryless, therefore, so is *X*.

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes values in $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes values in $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \times Pr[X=i]$$

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes values in $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \times Pr[X = i]$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i\{Pr[X \ge i] - Pr[X \ge i+1]\}$$

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes values in $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \times \Pr[X = i]$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \{\Pr[X \ge i] - \Pr[X \ge i+1]\}$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{i \times \Pr[X \ge i] - i \times \Pr[X \ge i+1]\}$$

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes values in $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \times \Pr[X = i]$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \{\Pr[X \ge i] - \Pr[X \ge i + 1]\}$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{i \times \Pr[X \ge i] - i \times \Pr[X \ge i + 1]\}$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{i \times \Pr[X \ge i] - (i - 1) \times \Pr[X \ge i]\}$$

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes values in $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \times Pr[X = i]$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i\{Pr[X \ge i] - Pr[X \ge i + 1]\}$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{i \times Pr[X \ge i] - i \times Pr[X \ge i + 1]\}$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{i \times Pr[X \ge i] - (i - 1) \times Pr[X \ge i]\}$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Pr[X \ge i].$$

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes the values $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes the values $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

If X = G(p), then $Pr[X \ge i] = Pr[X > i - 1] = (1 - p)^{i-1}$.

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes the values $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

If X = G(p), then $Pr[X \ge i] = Pr[X > i - 1] = (1 - p)^{i-1}$. Hence,

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^{i}$$

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes the values $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

If X = G(p), then $Pr[X \ge i] = Pr[X > i-1] = (1-p)^{i-1}$. Hence,

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^i = \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} =$$

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes the values $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

If X = G(p), then $Pr[X \ge i] = Pr[X > i - 1] = (1 - p)^{i-1}$. Hence,

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-\rho)^{i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-\rho)^i = \frac{1}{1-(1-\rho)} = \frac{1}{\rho}.$$

For $X = P(\lambda)$ and $Y = P(\mu)$, what is X + Y?

For $X = P(\lambda)$ and $Y = P(\mu)$, what is X + Y? Poission?

For $X = P(\lambda)$ and $Y = P(\mu)$, what is X + Y?

Poission? Yes. What parameter?

For $X = P(\lambda)$ and $Y = P(\mu)$, what is X + Y?

Poission? Yes. What parameter? $\lambda + \mu$.

```
For X = P(\lambda) and Y = P(\mu), what is X + Y?
```

Poission? Yes. What parameter? $\lambda + \mu$.

Why?

```
For X = P(\lambda) and Y = P(\mu), what is X + Y?
```

Poission? Yes. What parameter? $\lambda + \mu$.

Why?

 $P(\lambda)$ is limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ of $B(n, \lambda/n)$.

```
For X = P(\lambda) and Y = P(\mu), what is X + Y?
```

Poission? Yes. What parameter? $\lambda + \mu$.

Why?

 $P(\lambda)$ is limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ of $B(n, \lambda/n)$.

```
For X = P(\lambda) and Y = P(\mu), what is X + Y?
```

Poission? Yes. What parameter? $\lambda + \mu$.

Why?

 $P(\lambda)$ is limit $n \to \infty$ of $B(n, \lambda/n)$.

Recall Derivation:

break interval into n intervals

```
For X = P(\lambda) and Y = P(\mu), what is X + Y?
```

Poission? Yes. What parameter? $\lambda + \mu$.

Why?

 $P(\lambda)$ is limit $n \to \infty$ of $B(n, \lambda/n)$.

Recall Derivation:

break interval into *n* intervals and each has arrival with probability λ/n .

```
For X = P(\lambda) and Y = P(\mu), what is X + Y?
```

Poission? Yes. What parameter? $\lambda + \mu$.

Why?

 $P(\lambda)$ is limit $n \to \infty$ of $B(n, \lambda/n)$.

Recall Derivation:

break interval into *n* intervals and each has arrival with probability λ/n .

```
For X = P(\lambda) and Y = P(\mu), what is X + Y?
```

Poission? Yes. What parameter? $\lambda + \mu$.

Why?

 $P(\lambda)$ is limit $n \to \infty$ of $B(n, \lambda/n)$.

Recall Derivation:

break interval into *n* intervals and each has arrival with probability λ/n .

Now:

arrival for X happens with probability λ/n

```
For X = P(\lambda) and Y = P(\mu), what is X + Y?
```

Poission? Yes. What parameter? $\lambda + \mu$.

Why?

 $P(\lambda)$ is limit $n \to \infty$ of $B(n, \lambda/n)$.

Recall Derivation:

break interval into *n* intervals and each has arrival with probability λ/n .

Now:

arrival for X happens with probability λ/n arrival for Y happens with probability μ/n

```
For X = P(\lambda) and Y = P(\mu), what is X + Y?
```

Poission? Yes. What parameter? $\lambda + \mu$.

Why?

 $P(\lambda)$ is limit $n \to \infty$ of $B(n, \lambda/n)$.

Recall Derivation:

break interval into *n* intervals and each has arrival with probability λ/n .

Now:

arrival for X happens with probability λ/n arrival for Y happens with probability μ/n

```
For X = P(\lambda) and Y = P(\mu), what is X + Y?
```

Poission? Yes. What parameter? $\lambda + \mu$.

Why?

 $P(\lambda)$ is limit $n \to \infty$ of $B(n, \lambda/n)$.

Recall Derivation:

break interval into *n* intervals and each has arrival with probability λ/n .

Now:

arrival for X happens with probability λ/n arrival for Y happens with probability μ/n

```
So, we get limit n \rightarrow \infty is B(n, (\lambda + \mu)/n).
```

```
For X = P(\lambda) and Y = P(\mu), what is X + Y?
```

Poission? Yes. What parameter? $\lambda + \mu$.

Why?

 $P(\lambda)$ is limit $n \to \infty$ of $B(n, \lambda/n)$.

Recall Derivation:

break interval into *n* intervals and each has arrival with probability λ/n .

Now:

arrival for X happens with probability λ/n arrival for Y happens with probability μ/n

So, we get limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ is $B(n, (\lambda + \mu)/n)$.

Details: both could arrive with probability $\lambda \mu / n^2$. But this goes to zero as $n \to \infty$. (Like λ^2/n^2 in previous derivation)

The "best" guess about Y,

The "best" guess about Y, if we know only the distribution of Y, is

The "best" guess about Y, if we know only the distribution of Y, is E[Y].

The "best" guess about Y, if we know only the distribution of Y, is E[Y].

The "best" guess about Y, if we know only the distribution of Y, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.
The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

More precisely, the value of *a* that minimizes $E[(Y-a)^2]$ is

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

More precisely, the value of *a* that minimizes $E[(Y - a)^2]$ is a = E[Y]. **Proof:**

Let $\hat{Y} := Y - E[Y]$.

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

More precisely, the value of *a* that minimizes $E[(Y - a)^2]$ is a = E[Y].

Proof:

Let
$$\hat{Y} := Y - E[Y]$$
.
Then, $E[\hat{Y}] = E[Y - E[Y]] = E[Y] - E[Y] = 0$.

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

More precisely, the value of *a* that minimizes $E[(Y - a)^2]$ is a = E[Y].

Proof:

Let
$$\hat{Y} := Y - E[Y]$$
.
Then, $E[\hat{Y}] = E[Y - E[Y]] = E[Y] - E[Y] = 0$.
So, $E[\hat{Y}c] = 0, \forall c$.

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

More precisely, the value of *a* that minimizes $E[(Y - a)^2]$ is a = E[Y].

Proof:

Let
$$\hat{Y} := Y - E[Y]$$
.
Then, $E[\hat{Y}] = E[Y - E[Y]] = E[Y] - E[Y] = 0$.
So, $E[\hat{Y}c] = 0, \forall c$. Now,

$$E[(Y-a)^2] = E[(Y-E[Y]+E[Y]-a)^2]$$

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

More precisely, the value of *a* that minimizes $E[(Y - a)^2]$ is a = E[Y].

Proof:

Let
$$\hat{Y} := Y - E[Y]$$
.
Then, $E[\hat{Y}] = E[Y - E[Y]] = E[Y] - E[Y] = 0$.
So, $E[\hat{Y}c] = 0, \forall c$. Now,
 $E[(Y-a)^2] = E[(Y - E[Y] + E[Y] - a)^2]$

 $= E[(\hat{Y}+c)^2]$

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

Let
$$\hat{Y} := Y - E[Y]$$
.
Then, $E[\hat{Y}] = E[Y - E[Y]] = E[Y] - E[Y] = 0$.
So, $E[\hat{Y}c] = 0, \forall c$. Now,
 $E[(Y-a)^2] = E[(Y - E[Y] + E[Y] - a)^2]$

$$[a^{2}] = E[(Y - E[Y] + E[Y] - a)^{2}]$$

= $E[(\hat{Y} + c)^{2}]$ with $c = E[Y] - a$

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

Let
$$\hat{Y} := Y - E[Y]$$
.
Then, $E[\hat{Y}] = E[Y - E[Y]] = E[Y] - E[Y] = 0$.
So, $E[\hat{Y}c] = 0, \forall c$. Now,
 $E[(Y-a)^2] = E[(Y - E[Y] + E[Y] - a)^2]$
 $= E[(\hat{Y} + c)^2]$ with $c = E[Y] - a$
 $= E[\hat{Y}^2 + 2\hat{Y}c + c^2]$

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

Let
$$\hat{Y} := Y - E[Y]$$
.
Then, $E[\hat{Y}] = E[Y - E[Y]] = E[Y] - E[Y] = 0$.
So, $E[\hat{Y}c] = 0, \forall c$. Now,
 $E[(Y-a)^2] = E[(Y - E[Y] + E[Y] - a)^2]$
 $= E[(\hat{Y} + c)^2]$ with $c = E[Y] - a$
 $= E[\hat{Y}^2 + 2\hat{Y}c + c^2] = E[\hat{Y}^2] + 2E[\hat{Y}c] + c^2$

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

L

et
$$\hat{Y} := Y - E[Y]$$
.
Then, $E[\hat{Y}] = E[Y - E[Y]] = E[Y] - E[Y] = 0$.
So, $E[\hat{Y}c] = 0, \forall c$. Now,
 $E[(Y-a)^2] = E[(Y - E[Y] + E[Y] - a)^2]$
 $= E[(\hat{Y} + c)^2]$ with $c = E[Y] - a$
 $= E[\hat{Y}^2 + 2\hat{Y}c + c^2] = E[\hat{Y}^2] + 2E[\hat{Y}c] + c^2$
 $= E[\hat{Y}^2] + 0 + c^2$

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

Let
$$\hat{Y} := Y - E[Y]$$
.
Then, $E[\hat{Y}] = E[Y - E[Y]] = E[Y] - E[Y] = 0$.
So, $E[\hat{Y}c] = 0, \forall c$. Now,
 $E[(Y-a)^2] = E[(Y - E[Y] + E[Y] - a)^2]$

$$[(Y-a)^{2}] = E[(Y-E[Y]+E[Y]-a)^{2}]$$

= $E[(\hat{Y}+c)^{2}]$ with $c = E[Y]-a$
= $E[\hat{Y}^{2}+2\hat{Y}c+c^{2}] = E[\hat{Y}^{2}]+2E[\hat{Y}c]+c^{2}$
= $E[\hat{Y}^{2}]+0+c^{2} \ge E[\hat{Y}^{2}].$

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

More precisely, the value of *a* that minimizes $E[(Y - a)^2]$ is a = E[Y]. **Proof:**

Let
$$\hat{Y} := Y - E[Y]$$
.
Then, $E[\hat{Y}] = E[Y - E[Y]] = E[Y] - E[Y] = 0$.
So, $E[\hat{Y}c] = 0, \forall c$. Now,
 $E[(Y-a)^2] = E[(Y - E[Y] + E[Y] - a)^2]$
 $= E[(\hat{Y} + c)^2]$ with $c = E[Y] - a$
 $= E[\hat{Y}^2 + 2\hat{Y}c + c^2] = E[\hat{Y}^2] + 2E[\hat{Y}c] + c^2$
 $= E[\hat{Y}^2] + 0 + c^2 \ge E[\hat{Y}^2]$.

Hence, $E[(Y-a)^2] \ge E[(Y-E[Y])^2], \forall a$.

The "best" guess about *Y*, if we know only the distribution of *Y*, is E[Y].

If "best" is Mean Squared Error.

More precisely, the value of *a* that minimizes $E[(Y - a)^2]$ is a = E[Y]. **Proof:**

Let
$$\hat{Y} := Y - E[Y]$$
.
Then, $E[\hat{Y}] = E[Y - E[Y]] = E[Y] - E[Y] = 0$.
So, $E[\hat{Y}c] = 0, \forall c$. Now,
 $E[(Y - a)^2] = E[(Y - E[Y] + E[Y] - a)^2]$
 $= E[(\hat{Y} + c)^2]$ with $c = E[Y] - a$
 $= E[\hat{Y}^2 + 2\hat{Y}c + c^2] = E[\hat{Y}^2] + 2E[\hat{Y}c] + c^2$
 $= E[\hat{Y}^2] + 0 + c^2 \ge E[\hat{Y}^2]$.

Hence, $E[(Y-a)^2] \ge E[(Y-E[Y])^2], \forall a.$

Thus, if we want to guess the value of Y, we choose E[Y].

Thus, if we want to guess the value of Y, we choose E[Y]. Now assume we make some observation X related to Y.

Thus, if we want to guess the value of Y, we choose E[Y]. Now assume we make some observation X related to Y. How do we use that observation to improve our guess about Y?

Thus, if we want to guess the value of *Y*, we choose E[Y]. Now assume we make some observation *X* related to *Y*. How do we use that observation to improve our guess about *Y*? The idea is to use a function g(X) of the observation to estimate *Y*.

Thus, if we want to guess the value of *Y*, we choose E[Y]. Now assume we make some observation *X* related to *Y*. How do we use that observation to improve our guess about *Y*? The idea is to use a function g(X) of the observation to estimate *Y*. The simplest function g(X) is a constant that does not depend of *X*.

Thus, if we want to guess the value of *Y*, we choose E[Y]. Now assume we make some observation *X* related to *Y*. How do we use that observation to improve our guess about *Y*? The idea is to use a function g(X) of the observation to estimate *Y*. The simplest function g(X) is a constant that does not depend of *X*. The next simplest function is linear: g(X) = a + bX.

Thus, if we want to guess the value of *Y*, we choose *E*[*Y*]. Now assume we make some observation *X* related to *Y*. How do we use that observation to improve our guess about *Y*? The idea is to use a function g(X) of the observation to estimate *Y*. The simplest function g(X) is a constant that does not depend of *X*. The next simplest function is linear: g(X) = a + bX. What is the best linear function?

Thus, if we want to guess the value of *Y*, we choose *E*[*Y*]. Now assume we make some observation *X* related to *Y*. How do we use that observation to improve our guess about *Y*? The idea is to use a function g(X) of the observation to estimate *Y*. The simplest function g(X) is a constant that does not depend of *X*. The next simplest function is linear: g(X) = a + bX. What is the best linear function? That is our next topic.

Thus, if we want to guess the value of *Y*, we choose *E*[*Y*]. Now assume we make some observation *X* related to *Y*. How do we use that observation to improve our guess about *Y*? The idea is to use a function g(X) of the observation to estimate *Y*. The simplest function g(X) is a constant that does not depend of *X*. The next simplest function is linear: g(X) = a + bX. What is the best linear function? That is our next topic. A bit later, we will consider a general function g(X).

Example 1: 100 people.

Example 1: 100 people.

Let (X_n, Y_n) = (height, weight) of person *n*, for n = 1, ..., 100:

Example 1: 100 people.

Let (X_n, Y_n) = (height, weight) of person *n*, for n = 1, ..., 100:



Example 1: 100 people.

Let (X_n, Y_n) = (height, weight) of person *n*, for n = 1, ..., 100:



The blue line is Y = -114.3 + 106.5X. (X in meters, Y in kg.)

Example 1: 100 people.

Let (X_n, Y_n) = (height, weight) of person *n*, for n = 1, ..., 100:



The blue line is Y = -114.3 + 106.5X. (*X* in meters, *Y* in kg.) Best linear fit: Linear Regression.

Example 2: 15 people.

Example 2: 15 people.

We look at two attributes: (X_n, Y_n) of person *n*, for n = 1, ..., 15:

Example 2: 15 people.

We look at two attributes: (X_n, Y_n) of person *n*, for n = 1, ..., 15:



Example 2: 15 people.

We look at two attributes: (X_n, Y_n) of person *n*, for n = 1, ..., 15:



The line Y = a + bX is the linear regression.

LLSE

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.
LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X. **Theorem**

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X. **Theorem**

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

$$L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$$

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X. **Theorem**

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

Proof 1:
$$L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$$

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

Proof 1: $L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]).$

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

$L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ Proof 1: $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]).$ $E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0$ by linearity.

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

Proof 1: $L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]). \quad E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0 \text{ by linearity.}$ Also, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0.$

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

$L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ Proof 1: $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]). \quad E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0 \text{ by linearity.}$

Also, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$, after a bit of algebra. (next slide)

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

 $L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ Proof 1: $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]). \quad E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0 \text{ by linearity.}$

Also, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$, after a bit of algebra. (next slide)

Combine brown inequalities: $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(c + dX)] = 0$ for any c, d. Since: $\hat{Y} = \alpha + \beta X$ for some α, β ,

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

 $L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ Proof 1: $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]). \quad E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0 \text{ by linearity.}$

Also, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$, after a bit of algebra. (next slide)

Combine brown inequalities: $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(c + dX)] = 0$ for any c, d. Since: $\hat{Y} = \alpha + \beta X$ for some α, β , so $\exists c, d$ s.t. $\hat{Y} - a - bX = c + dX$.

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

 $L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ Proof 1: $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]). \quad E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0 \text{ by linearity.}$ Also, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$, after a bit of algebra. (next slide)

Combine brown inequalities: $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(c + dX)] = 0$ for any c, d. Since: $\hat{Y} = \alpha + \beta X$ for some α, β , so $\exists c, d$ s.t. $\hat{Y} - a - bX = c + dX$. Then, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(\hat{Y} - a - bX)] = 0, \forall a, b$.

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

 $L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ Proof 1: $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]). \quad E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0 \text{ by linearity.}$ Also, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$, after a bit of algebra. (next slide)

Combine brown inequalities: $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(c + dX)] = 0$ for any c, d. Since: $\hat{Y} = \alpha + \beta X$ for some α, β , so $\exists c, d$ s.t. $\hat{Y} - a - bX = c + dX$. Then, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(\hat{Y} - a - bX)] = 0, \forall a, b$.

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

 $L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ Proof 1: $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]). \quad E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0 \text{ by linearity.}$

Also, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$, after a bit of algebra. (next slide)

Combine brown inequalities: $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(c + dX)] = 0$ for any c, d. Since: $\hat{Y} = \alpha + \beta X$ for some α, β , so $\exists c, d$ s.t. $\hat{Y} - a - bX = c + dX$. Then, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(\hat{Y} - a - bX)] = 0, \forall a, b$. Now,

$$E[(Y - a - bX)^{2}] = E[(Y - \hat{Y} + \hat{Y} - a - bX)^{2}]$$

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

 $L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ Proof 1: $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]).$ $E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0$ by linearity.

Also, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$, after a bit of algebra. (next slide)

Combine brown inequalities: $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(c + dX)] = 0$ for any c, d. Since: $\hat{Y} = \alpha + \beta X$ for some α, β , so $\exists c, d$ s.t. $\hat{Y} - a - bX = c + dX$. Then, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(\hat{Y} - a - bX)] = 0, \forall a, b$. Now,

$$E[(Y-a-bX)^{2}] = E[(Y-\hat{Y}+\hat{Y}-a-bX)^{2}]$$

= $E[(Y-\hat{Y})^{2}] + E[(\hat{Y}-a-bX)^{2}] + 0$

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

 $L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ Proof 1: $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]). \quad E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0 \text{ by linearity.}$

Also, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$, after a bit of algebra. (next slide)

Combine brown inequalities: $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(c + dX)] = 0$ for any c, d. Since: $\hat{Y} = \alpha + \beta X$ for some α, β , so $\exists c, d$ s.t. $\hat{Y} - a - bX = c + dX$. Then, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(\hat{Y} - a - bX)] = 0, \forall a, b$. Now,

$$E[(Y-a-bX)^{2}] = E[(Y-\hat{Y}+\hat{Y}-a-bX)^{2}]$$

= $E[(Y-\hat{Y})^{2}] + E[(\hat{Y}-a-bX)^{2}] + \mathbf{0} \ge E[(Y-\hat{Y})^{2}].$

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

 $L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ Proof 1: $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]). \quad E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0 \text{ by linearity.}$

Also, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$, after a bit of algebra. (next slide)

Combine brown inequalities: $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(c + dX)] = 0$ for any c, d. Since: $\hat{Y} = \alpha + \beta X$ for some α, β , so $\exists c, d$ s.t. $\hat{Y} - a - bX = c + dX$. Then, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(\hat{Y} - a - bX)] = 0, \forall a, b$. Now,

$$E[(Y-a-bX)^{2}] = E[(Y-\hat{Y}+\hat{Y}-a-bX)^{2}]$$

= $E[(Y-\hat{Y})^{2}] + E[(\hat{Y}-a-bX)^{2}] + \mathbf{0} \ge E[(Y-\hat{Y})^{2}].$

This shows that $E[(Y - \hat{Y})^2] \le E[(Y - a - bX)^2]$, for all (a, b).

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

 $L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ Proof 1: $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]).$ $E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0$ by linearity.

Also, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$, after a bit of algebra. (next slide)

Combine brown inequalities: $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(c + dX)] = 0$ for any c, d. Since: $\hat{Y} = \alpha + \beta X$ for some α, β , so $\exists c, d$ s.t. $\hat{Y} - a - bX = c + dX$. Then, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(\hat{Y} - a - bX)] = 0, \forall a, b$. Now,

$$E[(Y-a-bX)^{2}] = E[(Y-\hat{Y}+\hat{Y}-a-bX)^{2}]$$

= $E[(Y-\hat{Y})^{2}] + E[(\hat{Y}-a-bX)^{2}] + \mathbf{0} \ge E[(Y-\hat{Y})^{2}].$

This shows that $E[(Y - \hat{Y})^2] \le E[(Y - a - bX)^2]$, for all (a, b). Thus \hat{Y} is the LLSE.

LLSE[Y|X] - best guess for Y given X.

Theorem

Consider two RVs X, Y with a given distribution Pr[X = x, Y = y]. Then,

 $L[Y|X] = \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$ Proof 1: $Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]).$ $E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0$ by linearity.

Also, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$, after a bit of algebra. (next slide)

Combine brown inequalities: $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(c + dX)] = 0$ for any c, d. Since: $\hat{Y} = \alpha + \beta X$ for some α, β , so $\exists c, d$ s.t. $\hat{Y} - a - bX = c + dX$. Then, $E[(Y - \hat{Y})(\hat{Y} - a - bX)] = 0, \forall a, b$. Now,

$$E[(Y-a-bX)^{2}] = E[(Y-\hat{Y}+\hat{Y}-a-bX)^{2}]$$

= $E[(Y-\hat{Y})^{2}] + E[(\hat{Y}-a-bX)^{2}] + \mathbf{0} \ge E[(Y-\hat{Y})^{2}].$

This shows that $E[(Y - \hat{Y})^2] \le E[(Y - a - bX)^2]$, for all (a, b). Thus \hat{Y} is the LLSE.

$$Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]).$$

$$Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]).$$

Hence, $E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0.$

$$Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]).$$

Hence, $E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0$. We want to show that $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$.

$$Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]).$$

Hence, $E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0$. We want to show that $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$.
Note that

$$E[(Y-\hat{Y})X] = E[(Y-\hat{Y})(X-E[X])],$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y - \hat{Y} &= (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]). \\ \text{Hence, } E[Y - \hat{Y}] &= 0. \text{ We want to show that } E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that
$$E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] &= E[(Y - \hat{Y})(X - E[X])], \end{aligned}$$

because $E[(Y - \hat{Y})E[X]] = 0.$

$$Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]).$$

Hence, $E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0$. We want to show that $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$.
Note that
$$E[(X - \hat{Y})X] - E[(X - \hat{Y})(X - E[X])]$$

$$E[(Y-\hat{Y})X] = E[(Y-\hat{Y})(X-E[X])],$$

because $E[(Y - \hat{Y})E[X]] = 0.$

Now,

$$E[(Y - \hat{Y})(X - E[X])] = E[(Y - E[Y])(X - E[X])] - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}E[(X - E[X])(X - E[X])]$$

$$Y - \hat{Y} = (Y - E[Y]) - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]).$$

Hence, $E[Y - \hat{Y}] = 0$. We want to show that $E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = 0$.
Note that
$$E[(X - \hat{Y})X] - E[(Y - \hat{Y})(X - E[X])]$$

$$E[(Y - \hat{Y})X] = E[(Y - \hat{Y})(X - E[X])],$$

because $E[(Y - \hat{Y})E[X]] = 0.$

Now,

$$E[(Y - \hat{Y})(X - E[X])] = E[(Y - E[Y])(X - E[X])] - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}E[(X - E[X])(X - E[X])]$$
$$=^{(*)} cov(X, Y) - \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}var[X] = 0. \quad \Box$$

(*) Recall that cov(X, Y) = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])] and $var[X] = E[(X - E[X])^2].$

Probability Space: Ω , $Pr : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} Pr(w) = 1$.

Probability Space: Ω , $Pr : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} Pr(w) = 1$.

Probability Space: Ω , $Pr : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} Pr(w) = 1$. Events: $A \subset \Omega$.

Probability Space: Ω , $Pr : \Omega \to [0, 1]$, $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} Pr(w) = 1$. Events: $A \subset \Omega$. Simple Total Probability: $Pr[B] = Pr[A \cap B] + Pr[\overline{A} \cap B]$.

Probability Space: Ω , $Pr : \Omega \to [0, 1]$, $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} Pr(w) = 1$. Events: $A \subset \Omega$. Simple Total Probability: $Pr[B] = Pr[A \cap B] + Pr[\overline{A} \cap B]$. Conditional Probability: $Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[A \cap B]}{Pr[B]}$.

Probability Space: Ω , $Pr : \Omega \to [0, 1]$, $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} Pr(w) = 1$. Events: $A \subset \Omega$. Simple Total Probability: $Pr[B] = Pr[A \cap B] + Pr[\overline{A} \cap B]$. Conditional Probability: $Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[A \cap B]}{Pr[B]}$. Simple Product Rule: $Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[A|B]Pr[B]$.

Probability Space: Ω , $Pr : \Omega \to [0, 1]$, $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} Pr(w) = 1$. Events: $A \subset \Omega$. Simple Total Probability: $Pr[B] = Pr[A \cap B] + Pr[\overline{A} \cap B]$. Conditional Probability: $Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[A \cap B]}{Pr[B]}$. Simple Product Rule: $Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[A|B]Pr[B]$. Bayes Rule: $Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[B|A]Pr[B]}{Pr[B]}$

Probability Space: Ω , $Pr : \Omega \to [0, 1]$, $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} Pr(w) = 1$. Events: $A \subset \Omega$. Simple Total Probability: $Pr[B] = Pr[A \cap B] + Pr[\overline{A} \cap B]$. Conditional Probability: $Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[A \cap B]}{Pr[B]}$. Simple Product Rule: $Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[A|B]Pr[B]$. Bayes Rule: $Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[B|A]Pr[B]}{Pr[B]}$ Inference:

Have one of two coins. Flip coin, which coin do you have? Got positive test result. What is probability you have disease?

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$
Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and Y independent \iff all associated events are independent.

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$.

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Variance: $Var(X) = E[(X - E[X])^2] = E[X^2] - (E(X))^2$

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Variance: $Var(X) = E[(X - E[X])^2] = E[X^2] - (E(X))^2$ For independent *X*, *Y*, Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y).

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Variance: $Var(X) = E[(X - E[X])^2] = E[X^2] - (E(X))^2$ For independent X, Y, Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y). Also: $Var(cX) = c^2 Var(X)$ and Var(X + b) = Var(X).

Poisson: $X \sim P(\lambda)$ $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}$.

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Poisson:
$$X \sim P(\lambda)$$
 $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda \frac{\lambda'}{i!}}$.
 $E(X) = \lambda$, $Var(X) = \lambda$.

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Poisson:
$$X \sim P(\lambda)$$
 $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda'}{i!}$.
 $E(X) = \lambda$, $Var(X) = \lambda$.
Binomial: $X \sim B(n,p)$

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Variance: $Var(X) = E[(X - E[X])^2] = E[X^2] - (E(X))^2$ For independent X, Y, Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y). Also: $Var(cX) = c^2 Var(X)$ and Var(X + b) = Var(X).

Poisson: $X \sim P(\lambda)$ $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}$. $E(X) = \lambda$, $Var(X) = \lambda$. Binomial: $X \sim B(n,p)$ $Pr[X = i] = {n \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Poisson:
$$X \sim P(\lambda)$$
 $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}$.
 $E(X) = \lambda$, $Var(X) = \lambda$.
Binomial: $X \sim B(n,p)$ $Pr[X = i] = \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$
 $E(X) = np$, $Var(X) = np(1-p)$

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Poisson:
$$X \sim P(\lambda)$$
 $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}$.
 $E(X) = \lambda$, $Var(X) = \lambda$.
Binomial: $X \sim B(n,p)$ $Pr[X = i] = {n \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$
 $E(X) = np$, $Var(X) = np(1-p)$
Uniform: $X \sim U\{1,...,n\}$

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Poisson:
$$X \sim P(\lambda)$$
 $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda \frac{\lambda'}{i!}}$.
 $E(X) = \lambda$, $Var(X) = \lambda$.
Binomial: $X \sim B(n,p)$ $Pr[X = i] = {n \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$
 $E(X) = np$, $Var(X) = np(1-p)$
Uniform: $X \sim U\{1, ..., n\}$ $\forall i \in [1, n], Pr[X = i] = \frac{1}{n}$.

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Poisson:
$$X \sim P(\lambda)$$
 $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}$.
 $E(X) = \lambda$, $Var(X) = \lambda$.
Binomial: $X \sim B(n,p)$ $Pr[X = i] = {n \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$
 $E(X) = np$, $Var(X) = np(1-p)$
Uniform: $X \sim U\{1, \dots, n\}$ $\forall i \in [1, n], Pr[X = i] = \frac{1}{n}$.
 $E[X] = \frac{n+1}{2}$, $Var(X) = \frac{n^2-1}{12}$.

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Poisson:
$$X \sim P(\lambda)$$
 $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda'}{i!}$.
 $E(X) = \lambda$, $Var(X) = \lambda$.
Binomial: $X \sim B(n,p)$ $Pr[X = i] = {n \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$
 $E(X) = np$, $Var(X) = np(1-p)$
Uniform: $X \sim U\{1, \dots, n\}$ $\forall i \in [1, n], Pr[X = i] = \frac{1}{n}$.
 $E[X] = \frac{n+1}{2}$, $Var(X) = \frac{n^2-1}{12}$.
Geometric: $X \sim G(p)$

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Poisson:
$$X \sim P(\lambda)$$
 $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}$.
 $E(X) = \lambda$, $Var(X) = \lambda$.
Binomial: $X \sim B(n,p)$ $Pr[X = i] = {n \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$
 $E(X) = np$, $Var(X) = np(1-p)$
Uniform: $X \sim U\{1, \dots, n\}$ $\forall i \in [1, n], Pr[X = i] = \frac{1}{n}$.
 $E[X] = \frac{n+1}{2}$, $Var(X) = \frac{n^2-1}{12}$.
Geometric: $X \sim G(p)$ $Pr[X = i] = (1-p)^{i-1}p$

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Poisson:
$$X \sim P(\lambda)$$
 $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}$.
 $E(X) = \lambda$, $Var(X) = \lambda$.
Binomial: $X \sim B(n,p)$ $Pr[X = i] = {n \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$
 $E(X) = np$, $Var(X) = np(1-p)$
Uniform: $X \sim U\{1, \dots, n\}$ $\forall i \in [1, n], Pr[X = i] = \frac{1}{n}$.
 $E[X] = \frac{n+1}{2}$, $Var(X) = \frac{n^2-1}{12}$.
Geometric: $X \sim G(p)$ $Pr[X = i] = (1-p)^{i-1}p$
 $E(X) = \frac{1}{p}$, $Var(X) = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$

Random Variables: $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$.

Distribution: $Pr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega: X(\omega)=a} Pr(\omega)$

X and *Y* independent \iff all associated events are independent. Expectation: $E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)Pr(\omega)$. Linearity: E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Variance:
$$Var(X) = E[(X - E[X])^2] = E[X^2] - (E(X))^2$$

For independent X, Y, $Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y)$.
Also: $Var(cX) = c^2 Var(X)$ and $Var(X + b) = Var(X)$.

Poisson:
$$X \sim P(\lambda)$$
 $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}$.
 $E(X) = \lambda$, $Var(X) = \lambda$.
Binomial: $X \sim B(n,p)$ $Pr[X = i] = {n \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$
 $E(X) = np$, $Var(X) = np(1-p)$
Uniform: $X \sim U\{1, \dots, n\}$ $\forall i \in [1, n], Pr[X = i] = \frac{1}{n}$
 $E[X] = \frac{n+1}{2}$, $Var(X) = \frac{n^2-1}{12}$.
Geometric: $X \sim G(p)$ $Pr[X = i] = (1-p)^{i-1}p$
 $E(X) = \frac{1}{p}$, $Var(X) = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$

Note: Probability Mass Function \equiv Distribution.