## CS70: Markov Chains.

Markov Chains 1

## CS70: Markov Chains.

Markov Chains 1

## CS70: Markov Chains.

Markov Chains 1

- 1. Examples
- 2. Definition
- 3. First Passage Time

Here is a symmetric two-state Markov chain.

Here is a symmetric two-state Markov chain. It describes a random motion in  $\{0,1\}.$ 

Here is a symmetric two-state Markov chain. It describes a random motion in  $\{0,1\}$ . Here, *a* is the probability that the state changes in the next step.



Here is a symmetric two-state Markov chain. It describes a random motion in  $\{0,1\}$ . Here, *a* is the probability that the state changes in the next step.



Let's simulate the Markov chain:

Here is a symmetric two-state Markov chain. It describes a random motion in  $\{0,1\}$ . Here, *a* is the probability that the state changes in the next step.



Let's simulate the Markov chain:



#### Five-State Markov Chain

At each step, the MC follows one of the outgoing arrows of the current state, with equal probabilities.



#### Five-State Markov Chain

At each step, the MC follows one of the outgoing arrows of the current state, with equal probabilities.



Let's simulate the Markov chain:

#### Five-State Markov Chain

At each step, the MC follows one of the outgoing arrows of the current state, with equal probabilities.



Let's simulate the Markov chain:







• A finite set of states:  $\mathscr{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$ 



- A finite set of states:  $\mathscr{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$
- A probability distribution  $\pi_0$  on  $\mathscr{X}$ :



- A finite set of states:  $\mathscr{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$
- A probability distribution  $\pi_0$  on  $\mathscr{X} : \pi_0(i) \ge 0, \sum_i \pi_0(i) = 1$



- A finite set of states:  $\mathscr{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$
- A probability distribution  $\pi_0$  on  $\mathscr{X} : \pi_0(i) \ge 0, \sum_i \pi_0(i) = 1$
- ▶ Transition probabilities: P(i,j) for  $i,j \in \mathscr{X}$



- A finite set of states:  $\mathscr{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$
- A probability distribution  $\pi_0$  on  $\mathscr{X} : \pi_0(i) \ge 0, \sum_i \pi_0(i) = 1$
- ▶ Transition probabilities: P(i,j) for  $i,j \in \mathscr{X}$

 $P(i,j) \geq 0, \forall i,j;$ 



- A finite set of states:  $\mathscr{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$
- A probability distribution  $\pi_0$  on  $\mathscr{X} : \pi_0(i) \ge 0, \sum_i \pi_0(i) = 1$
- ▶ Transition probabilities: P(i,j) for  $i,j \in \mathscr{X}$

 $P(i,j) \ge 0, \forall i,j; \sum_{j} P(i,j) = 1, \forall i$ 



- A finite set of states:  $\mathscr{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$
- A probability distribution  $\pi_0$  on  $\mathscr{X} : \pi_0(i) \ge 0, \sum_i \pi_0(i) = 1$
- ▶ Transition probabilities: P(i,j) for  $i,j \in \mathscr{X}$

 $P(i,j) \geq 0, \forall i,j; \sum_{i} P(i,j) = 1, \forall i$ 

•  $\{X_n, n \ge 0\}$  is defined so that



- A finite set of states:  $\mathscr{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$
- A probability distribution  $\pi_0$  on  $\mathscr{X} : \pi_0(i) \ge 0, \sum_i \pi_0(i) = 1$
- ▶ Transition probabilities: P(i,j) for  $i,j \in \mathscr{X}$

 $P(i,j) \geq 0, \forall i,j; \sum_{i} P(i,j) = 1, \forall i$ 

•  $\{X_n, n \ge 0\}$  is defined so that

 $Pr[X_0 = i] = \pi_0(i), i \in \mathscr{X}$ 



- A finite set of states:  $\mathscr{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$
- A probability distribution  $\pi_0$  on  $\mathscr{X} : \pi_0(i) \ge 0, \sum_i \pi_0(i) = 1$
- ▶ Transition probabilities: P(i,j) for  $i,j \in \mathscr{X}$

 $P(i,j) \geq 0, \forall i,j; \sum_{i} P(i,j) = 1, \forall i$ 

•  $\{X_n, n \ge 0\}$  is defined so that

 $Pr[X_0 = i] = \pi_0(i), i \in \mathscr{X}$  (initial distribution)



- A finite set of states:  $\mathscr{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$
- A probability distribution  $\pi_0$  on  $\mathscr{X} : \pi_0(i) \ge 0, \sum_i \pi_0(i) = 1$
- ▶ Transition probabilities: P(i,j) for  $i,j \in \mathscr{X}$

 $P(i,j) \geq 0, \forall i,j; \sum_{i} P(i,j) = 1, \forall i$ 

•  $\{X_n, n \ge 0\}$  is defined so that

 $Pr[X_0 = i] = \pi_0(i), i \in \mathscr{X} \text{ (initial distribution)}$  $Pr[X_{n+1} = j \mid X_0, \dots, X_n = i] = P(i, j), i, j \in \mathscr{X}.$ 

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*.

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get H. How many flips,

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?

Let's define a Markov chain:

$$\blacktriangleright X_0 = S$$

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?

Let's define a Markov chain:

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?

Let's define a Markov chain:

•  $X_n = S$  for  $n \ge 1$ , if last flip was T and no H yet

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?

Let's define a Markov chain:

•  $X_n = S$  for  $n \ge 1$ , if last flip was T and no H yet

•  $X_n = E$  for  $n \ge 1$ , if we already got H

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?

Let's define a Markov chain:

•  $X_n = S$  for  $n \ge 1$ , if last flip was T and no H yet

▶  $X_n = E$  for  $n \ge 1$ , if we already got H (end)

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?

Let's define a Markov chain:

•  $X_n = S$  for  $n \ge 1$ , if last flip was T and no H yet

•  $X_n = E$  for  $n \ge 1$ , if we already got H (end)



Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?

Let's define a Markov chain:

•  $X_n = S$  for  $n \ge 1$ , if last flip was T and no H yet

•  $X_n = E$  for  $n \ge 1$ , if we already got H (end)



Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*, starting from *S*.
Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*, starting from *S*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*, starting from *S*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

(See next slide.)

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*, starting from *S*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

(See next slide.) Hence,

$$p\beta(S)=1,$$

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*, starting from *S*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

(See next slide.) Hence,

$$p\beta(S) = 1$$
, so that  $\beta(S) = 1/p$ .

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*, starting from *S*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

(See next slide.) Hence,

$$p\beta(S) = 1$$
, so that  $\beta(S) = 1/p$ .

Note: Time until *E* is G(p).

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*, starting from *S*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

(See next slide.) Hence,

$$p\beta(S) = 1$$
, so that  $\beta(S) = 1/p$ .

Note: Time until *E* is G(p). The mean of G(p) is 1/p!!!

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

Justification:

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get H. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

**Justification:** *N* – number of steps until *E*, starting from *S*.

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

**Justification:** N – number of steps until E, starting from S. N' – number of steps until E, after the second visit to S.

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get H. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

**Justification:** N – number of steps until E, starting from S. N' – number of steps until E, after the second visit to S. And Z = 1 {first flip = H}.

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

**Justification:** N – number of steps until E, starting from S. N' – number of steps until E, after the second visit to S. And Z = 1 {first flip = H}. Then,

$$N = 1 + (1 - Z) \times N' + Z \times 0.$$

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

**Justification:** N – number of steps until E, starting from S. N' – number of steps until E, after the second visit to S. And Z = 1 {first flip = H}. Then,

$$N = 1 + (1 - Z) \times N' + Z \times 0.$$

Z and N' are independent.

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get H. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

**Justification:** N – number of steps until E, starting from S. N' – number of steps until E, after the second visit to S. And Z = 1 {first flip = H}. Then,

$$N = 1 + (1 - Z) \times N' + Z \times 0.$$

Z and N' are independent. Also,  $E[N'] = E[N] = \beta(S)$ .

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get H. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

**Justification:** N – number of steps until E, starting from S. N' – number of steps until E, after the second visit to S. And Z = 1 {first flip = H}. Then,

$$N = 1 + (1 - Z) \times N' + Z \times 0.$$

*Z* and *N'* are independent. Also,  $E[N'] = E[N] = \beta(S)$ . Hence, taking expectation,

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

**Justification:** N – number of steps until E, starting from S. N' – number of steps until E, after the second visit to S. And Z = 1 {first flip = H}. Then,

$$N = 1 + (1 - Z) \times N' + Z \times 0.$$

*Z* and *N'* are independent. Also,  $E[N'] = E[N] = \beta(S)$ . Hence, taking expectation,

$$\beta(S) = E[N] = 1 + (1 - p)E[N'] + p0$$

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get *H*. How many flips, on average?



Let  $\beta(S)$  be the average time until *E*. Then,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

**Justification:** N – number of steps until E, starting from S. N' – number of steps until E, after the second visit to S. And Z = 1 {first flip = H}. Then,

$$N = 1 + (1 - Z) \times N' + Z \times 0.$$

*Z* and *N'* are independent. Also,  $E[N'] = E[N] = \beta(S)$ . Hence, taking expectation,

$$\beta(S) = E[N] = 1 + (1 - p)E[N'] + p0 = 1 + q\beta(S) + p0.$$

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs.

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips,

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive *H*s. How many flips, on average?

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average?

НТНТТТНТНТНТТНТНН

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average?

```
НТНТТТНТНТНТТНТНН
```

$$\blacktriangleright X_0 = S$$

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average?

```
НТНТТТНТНТНТТНТНН
```

- $X_0 = S$  (start)
- $X_n = E$ , if we already got two consecutive Hs

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average?

```
НТНТТТНТНТНТТНТНН
```

Let's define a Markov chain:

• 
$$X_0 = S$$
 (start)

•  $X_n = E$ , if we already got two consecutive Hs (end)

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average?

```
НТНТТТНТНТНТТНТНН
```

- $X_0 = S$  (start)
- $X_n = E$ , if we already got two consecutive Hs (end)
- $X_n = T$ , if last flip was T and we are not done

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average?

```
НТНТТТНТНТНТТНТНН
```

- ► *X*<sub>0</sub> = *S* (start)
- $X_n = E$ , if we already got two consecutive Hs (end)
- >  $X_n = T$ , if last flip was T and we are not done
- $X_n = H$ , if last flip was H and we are not done

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average?

```
НТНТТТНТНТНТТНТНН
```

- ► *X*<sub>0</sub> = *S* (start)
- $X_n = E$ , if we already got two consecutive Hs (end)
- >  $X_n = T$ , if last flip was T and we are not done
- $X_n = H$ , if last flip was H and we are not done

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average?

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average? Here is a picture:

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average? Here is a picture:



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average? Here is a picture:



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let  $\beta(i)$  be the average time from state *i* until the MC hits state *E*.

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average? Here is a picture:



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let  $\beta(i)$  be the average time from state *i* until the MC hits state *E*. We claim that

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average? Here is a picture:



S: Start  
H: Last flip = 
$$H$$
  
T: Last flip =  $T$   
E: Done

Let  $\beta(i)$  be the average time from state *i* until the MC hits state *E*. We claim that (these are called the first step equations)

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average? Here is a picture:



Let  $\beta(i)$  be the average time from state *i* until the MC hits state *E*. We claim that (these are called the first step equations)

$$\beta(S) = 1 + p\beta(H) + q\beta(T)$$

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average? Here is a picture:



Let  $\beta(i)$  be the average time from state *i* until the MC hits state *E*. We claim that (these are called the first step equations)

$$\beta(S) = 1 + p\beta(H) + q\beta(T)$$
  
$$\beta(H) = 1 + p0 + q\beta(T)$$
Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average? Here is a picture:



Let  $\beta(i)$  be the average time from state *i* until the MC hits state *E*. We claim that (these are called the first step equations)

$$\beta(S) = 1 + p\beta(H) + q\beta(T)$$
  

$$\beta(H) = 1 + p0 + q\beta(T)$$
  

$$\beta(T) = 1 + p\beta(H) + q\beta(T).$$

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average? Here is a picture:



Let  $\beta(i)$  be the average time from state *i* until the MC hits state *E*. We claim that (these are called the first step equations)

$$\beta(S) = 1 + p\beta(H) + q\beta(T)$$
  

$$\beta(H) = 1 + p0 + q\beta(T)$$
  

$$\beta(T) = 1 + p\beta(H) + q\beta(T).$$

Solving, we find

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average? Here is a picture:



Let  $\beta(i)$  be the average time from state *i* until the MC hits state *E*. We claim that (these are called the first step equations)

$$\beta(S) = 1 + p\beta(H) + q\beta(T)$$
  

$$\beta(H) = 1 + p0 + q\beta(T)$$
  

$$\beta(T) = 1 + p\beta(H) + q\beta(T).$$

Solving, we find  $\beta(S) = 2 + 3qp^{-1} + q^2p^{-2}$ .

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get two consecutive Hs. How many flips, on average? Here is a picture:



Let  $\beta(i)$  be the average time from state *i* until the MC hits state *E*. We claim that (these are called the first step equations)

$$\beta(S) = 1 + p\beta(H) + q\beta(T)$$
  

$$\beta(H) = 1 + p0 + q\beta(T)$$
  

$$\beta(T) = 1 + p\beta(H) + q\beta(T).$$

Solving, we find  $\beta(S) = 2 + 3qp^{-1} + q^2p^{-2}$ . (E.g.,  $\beta(S) = 6$  if p = 1/2.)



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let us justify the first step equation for  $\beta(T)$ .



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let us justify the first step equation for  $\beta(T)$ . The others are similar.



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let us justify the first step equation for  $\beta(T)$ . The others are similar.

N(T) – number of steps, starting from T until the MC hits E.



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let us justify the first step equation for  $\beta(T)$ . The others are similar.

N(T) – number of steps, starting from T until the MC hits E. N(H) – be defined similarly.



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let us justify the first step equation for  $\beta(T)$ . The others are similar.

N(T) – number of steps, starting from T until the MC hits E. N(H) – be defined similarly. N'(T) – number of steps after the second visit to T until MC hits E.



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let us justify the first step equation for  $\beta(T)$ . The others are similar.

N(T) – number of steps, starting from T until the MC hits E. N(H) – be defined similarly. N'(T) – number of steps after the second visit to T until MC hits E.

$$N(T) = 1 + Z \times N(H) + (1 - Z) \times N'(T)$$



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let us justify the first step equation for  $\beta(T)$ . The others are similar.

N(T) – number of steps, starting from T until the MC hits E. N(H) – be defined similarly. N'(T) – number of steps after the second visit to T until MC hits E.

$$N(T) = 1 + Z \times N(H) + (1 - Z) \times N'(T)$$

where Z = 1{first flip in *T* is *H*}.



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let us justify the first step equation for  $\beta(T)$ . The others are similar.

N(T) – number of steps, starting from T until the MC hits E. N(H) – be defined similarly. N'(T) – number of steps after the second visit to T until MC hits E.

$$N(T) = 1 + Z \times N(H) + (1 - Z) \times N'(T)$$

where Z = 1 {first flip in T is H}. Since Z and N(H) are independent,



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let us justify the first step equation for  $\beta(T)$ . The others are similar.

N(T) – number of steps, starting from T until the MC hits E. N(H) – be defined similarly. N'(T) – number of steps after the second visit to T until MC hits E.

$$N(T) = 1 + Z \times N(H) + (1 - Z) \times N'(T)$$

where Z = 1 {first flip in *T* is *H*}. Since *Z* and *N*(*H*) are independent, and *Z* and *N*'(*T*) are independent,



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let us justify the first step equation for  $\beta(T)$ . The others are similar.

N(T) – number of steps, starting from T until the MC hits E. N(H) – be defined similarly. N'(T) – number of steps after the second visit to T until MC hits E.

$$N(T) = 1 + Z \times N(H) + (1 - Z) \times N'(T)$$

where Z = 1 {first flip in *T* is *H*}. Since *Z* and *N*(*H*) are independent, and *Z* and *N*'(*T*) are independent, taking expectations, we get



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

Let us justify the first step equation for  $\beta(T)$ . The others are similar.

N(T) – number of steps, starting from T until the MC hits E. N(H) – be defined similarly. N'(T) – number of steps after the second visit to T until MC hits E.

$$N(T) = 1 + Z \times N(H) + (1 - Z) \times N'(T)$$

where Z = 1 {first flip in *T* is *H*}. Since *Z* and *N*(*H*) are independent, and *Z* and *N*'(*T*) are independent, taking expectations, we get

$$E[N(T)] = 1 + \rho E[N(H)] + q E[N'(T)],$$



S: Start H: Last flip = HT: Last flip = TE: Done

•

Let us justify the first step equation for  $\beta(T)$ . The others are similar.

N(T) – number of steps, starting from T until the MC hits E. N(H) – be defined similarly. N'(T) – number of steps after the second visit to T until MC hits E.

$$N(T) = 1 + Z \times N(H) + (1 - Z) \times N'(T)$$

where Z = 1 {first flip in *T* is *H*}. Since *Z* and *N*(*H*) are independent, and *Z* and *N*'(*T*) are independent, taking expectations, we get

$$E[N(T)] = 1 + pE[N(H)] + qE[N'(T)]$$
$$\beta(T) = 1 + p\beta(H) + q\beta(T).$$

i.e.,

You roll a balanced six-sided die until the sum of the last two rolls is 8.

You roll a balanced six-sided die until the sum of the last two rolls is 8. How many times do you have to roll the die,

You roll a balanced six-sided die until the sum of the last two rolls is 8. How many times do you have to roll the die, on average?

You roll a balanced six-sided die until the sum of the last two rolls is 8. How many times do you have to roll the die, on average?



The arrows out of  $3, \ldots, 6$  (not shown) are similar to those out of 2.

You roll a balanced six-sided die until the sum of the last two rolls is 8. How many times do you have to roll the die, on average?



The arrows out of  $3, \ldots, 6$  (not shown) are similar to those out of 2.

$$\beta(S) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j);$$

You roll a balanced six-sided die until the sum of the last two rolls is 8. How many times do you have to roll the die, on average?



The arrows out of  $3, \ldots, 6$  (not shown) are similar to those out of 2.

$$\beta(S) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(1) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j)$$

You roll a balanced six-sided die until the sum of the last two rolls is 8. How many times do you have to roll the die, on average?



The arrows out of  $3, \ldots, 6$  (not shown) are similar to those out of 2.

$$\beta(S) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(1) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(i) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1,\dots,6; j \neq 8-i} \beta(j), i = 2,\dots,6$$

You roll a balanced six-sided die until the sum of the last two rolls is 8. How many times do you have to roll the die, on average?



The arrows out of  $3, \ldots, 6$  (not shown) are similar to those out of 2.

$$\beta(S) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(1) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(i) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1,\dots,6: j \neq 8-i} \beta(j), i = 2,\dots,6.$$

Symmetry:  $\beta(2) = \cdots = \beta(6) =: \gamma$ .

You roll a balanced six-sided die until the sum of the last two rolls is 8. How many times do you have to roll the die, on average?



The arrows out of  $3, \ldots, 6$  (not shown) are similar to those out of 2.

$$\beta(S) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(1) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(i) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1,\dots,6: j \neq 8-i}^{6} \beta(j), i = 2,\dots,6.$$

Symmetry:  $\beta(2) = \cdots = \beta(6) =: \gamma$ . Also,  $\beta(1) = \beta(S)$ .

You roll a balanced six-sided die until the sum of the last two rolls is 8. How many times do you have to roll the die, on average?



The arrows out of  $3, \ldots, 6$  (not shown) are similar to those out of 2.

$$\beta(S) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(1) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(i) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1,\dots,6: j \neq 8-i} \beta(j), i = 2,\dots,6.$$

Symmetry:  $\beta(2) = \cdots = \beta(6) =: \gamma$ . Also,  $\beta(1) = \beta(S)$ . Thus,

 $\beta(S) = 1 + (5/6)\gamma + \beta(S)/6;$ 

You roll a balanced six-sided die until the sum of the last two rolls is 8. How many times do you have to roll the die, on average?



The arrows out of  $3, \ldots, 6$  (not shown) are similar to those out of 2.

$$\beta(S) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(1) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(i) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1,\dots,6: j \neq 8-i}^{6} \beta(j), i = 2,\dots,6.$$

Symmetry:  $\beta(2) = \cdots = \beta(6) =: \gamma$ . Also,  $\beta(1) = \beta(S)$ . Thus,

 $\beta(S) = 1 + (5/6)\gamma + \beta(S)/6; \quad \gamma = 1 + (4/6)\gamma + (1/6)\beta(S).$ 

You roll a balanced six-sided die until the sum of the last two rolls is 8. How many times do you have to roll the die, on average?



The arrows out of  $3, \ldots, 6$  (not shown) are similar to those out of 2.

$$\beta(S) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(1) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \beta(j); \beta(i) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1,\dots,6: j \neq 8-i}^{6} \beta(j), i = 2,\dots,6$$

Symmetry:  $\beta(2) = \cdots = \beta(6) =: \gamma$ . Also,  $\beta(1) = \beta(S)$ . Thus,

$$\beta(S) = 1 + (5/6)\gamma + \beta(S)/6; \quad \gamma = 1 + (4/6)\gamma + (1/6)\beta(S).$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \cdots \beta(S) = 8.4.$$

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5.

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1.

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1.

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1.

What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1. What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?


Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1. What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?



Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1. What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?



Let  $\alpha(n)$  be the probability of reaching 100 before 0, starting from *n*, for n = 0, 1, ..., 100.

 $\alpha(0) =$ 

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1. What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?



Let  $\alpha(n)$  be the probability of reaching 100 before 0, starting from *n*, for n = 0, 1, ..., 100.

 $\alpha(0) = 0;$ 

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1. What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?



$$\alpha(0) = 0; \alpha(100) =$$

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1. What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?



$$\alpha(0) = 0; \alpha(100) = 1.$$

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1. What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?



$$\alpha(0) = 0; \alpha(100) = 1.$$
  
 $\alpha(n) =$ 

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1. What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?



$$\alpha(0) = 0; \alpha(100) = 1.$$
  
 $\alpha(n) = p\alpha(n+1) + q\alpha(n-1), 0 < n < 100.$ 

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1. What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?



$$\alpha(0) = 0; \alpha(100) = 1.$$
  
 $\alpha(n) = p\alpha(n+1) + q\alpha(n-1), 0 < n < 100.$ 

$$\Rightarrow \alpha(n) = \frac{1-\rho^n}{1-\rho^{100}}$$
 with  $\rho = qp^{-1}$ .

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p < 0.5. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1. What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?



$$\alpha(0) = 0; \alpha(100) = 1.$$
  
 $\alpha(n) = p\alpha(n+1) + q\alpha(n-1), 0 < n < 100.$ 

$$\Rightarrow \alpha(n) = rac{1-
ho^n}{1-
ho^{100}}$$
 with  $ho = q 
ho^{-1}$ . (See LN 24)

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p = .48.

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p = .48. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1.

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p = .48. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1.

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p = .48. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1.

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p = .48. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1.



Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p = .48. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1.



Less than 1 in a 1000.

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p = .48. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1.

What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?



Less than 1 in a 1000. Morale of example:

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p = .48. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1.



Less than 1 in a 1000. Morale of example: Money in Vegas

Game of "heads or tails" using coin with 'heads' probability p = .48. Start with \$10.

Each step, flip yields 'heads', earn \$1. Otherwise, lose \$1.

What is the probability that you reach \$100 before \$0?



Less than 1 in a 1000. Morale of example: Money in Vegas stays in Vegas.

#### First Step Equations Let $X_n$ be a MC on $\mathscr{X}$ and $A, B \subset \mathscr{X}$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

Let  $X_n$  be a MC on  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $A, B \subset \mathscr{X}$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Define

 $T_A = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in A\}$ 

Let  $X_n$  be a MC on  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $A, B \subset \mathscr{X}$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Define

 $T_A = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in A\}$  and  $T_B = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in B\}$ .

Let  $X_n$  be a MC on  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $A, B \subset \mathscr{X}$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Define

 $T_A = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in A\}$  and  $T_B = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in B\}$ .

Let  $\beta(i) = E[T_A \mid X_0 = i]$ 

Let  $X_n$  be a MC on  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $A, B \subset \mathscr{X}$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Define

 $T_A = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in A\} \text{ and } T_B = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in B\}.$ 

Let  $\beta(i) = E[T_A \mid X_0 = i]$  and  $\alpha(i) = Pr[T_A < T_B \mid X_0 = i], i \in \mathscr{X}$ .

Let  $X_n$  be a MC on  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $A, B \subset \mathscr{X}$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Define

$$T_A = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in A\} \text{ and } T_B = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in B\}.$$

Let  $\beta(i) = E[T_A \mid X_0 = i]$  and  $\alpha(i) = Pr[T_A < T_B \mid X_0 = i], i \in \mathscr{X}$ .



Let  $X_n$  be a MC on  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $A, B \subset \mathscr{X}$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Define

$$T_A = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in A\} \text{ and } T_B = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in B\}.$$

Let  $\beta(i) = E[T_A \mid X_0 = i]$  and  $\alpha(i) = Pr[T_A < T_B \mid X_0 = i], i \in \mathscr{X}$ .



Let  $X_n$  be a MC on  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $A, B \subset \mathscr{X}$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Define

$$T_A = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in A\} \text{ and } T_B = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in B\}.$$

Let  $\beta(i) = E[T_A \mid X_0 = i]$  and  $\alpha(i) = Pr[T_A < T_B \mid X_0 = i], i \in \mathscr{X}$ .



The FSE are

 $\beta(i) = 0, i \in A$ 

Let  $X_n$  be a MC on  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $A, B \subset \mathscr{X}$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Define

$$T_A = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in A\} \text{ and } T_B = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in B\}.$$

Let  $\beta(i) = E[T_A \mid X_0 = i]$  and  $\alpha(i) = Pr[T_A < T_B \mid X_0 = i], i \in \mathscr{X}$ .



$$\beta(i) = 0, i \in A$$
  
 $\beta(i) = 1 + \sum_{j} P(i,j)\beta(j), i \notin A$ 

Let  $X_n$  be a MC on  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $A, B \subset \mathscr{X}$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Define

$$T_A = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in A\} \text{ and } T_B = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in B\}.$$

Let  $\beta(i) = E[T_A \mid X_0 = i]$  and  $\alpha(i) = Pr[T_A < T_B \mid X_0 = i], i \in \mathscr{X}$ .



$$eta(i) = 0, i \in A$$
  
 $eta(i) = 1 + \sum_{j} P(i,j) eta(j), i \notin A$   
 $lpha(i) = 1, i \in A$ 

Let  $X_n$  be a MC on  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $A, B \subset \mathscr{X}$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Define

$$T_A = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in A\} \text{ and } T_B = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in B\}.$$

Let  $\beta(i) = E[T_A \mid X_0 = i]$  and  $\alpha(i) = Pr[T_A < T_B \mid X_0 = i], i \in \mathscr{X}$ .



$$\beta(i) = 0, i \in A$$
  

$$\beta(i) = 1 + \sum_{j} P(i,j)\beta(j), i \notin A$$
  

$$\alpha(i) = 1, i \in A$$
  

$$\alpha(i) = 0, i \in B$$

Let  $X_n$  be a MC on  $\mathscr{X}$  and  $A, B \subset \mathscr{X}$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Define

$$T_A = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in A\} \text{ and } T_B = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n \in B\}.$$

Let  $\beta(i) = E[T_A \mid X_0 = i]$  and  $\alpha(i) = Pr[T_A < T_B \mid X_0 = i], i \in \mathscr{X}$ .



$$\beta(i) = 0, i \in A$$
  

$$\beta(i) = 1 + \sum_{j} P(i,j)\beta(j), i \notin A$$
  

$$\alpha(i) = 1, i \in A$$
  

$$\alpha(i) = 0, i \in B$$
  

$$\alpha(i) = \sum_{j} P(i,j)\alpha(j), i \notin A \cup B.$$

Let  $X_n$  be a Markov chain on  $\mathscr{X}$  with P.

Let  $X_n$  be a Markov chain on  $\mathscr{X}$  with P. Let  $A \subset \mathscr{X}$ 

Let  $X_n$  be a Markov chain on  $\mathscr{X}$  with P. Let  $A \subset \mathscr{X}$ Let also  $g : \mathscr{X} \to \mathfrak{R}$  be some function.

Let  $X_n$  be a Markov chain on  $\mathscr{X}$  with P. Let  $A \subset \mathscr{X}$ Let also  $g : \mathscr{X} \to \mathfrak{R}$  be some function. Define

$$\gamma(i) = E[\sum_{n=0}^{T_A} g(X_n) | X_0 = i], i \in \mathscr{X}.$$
### Accumulating Rewards

Let  $X_n$  be a Markov chain on  $\mathscr{X}$  with P. Let  $A \subset \mathscr{X}$ Let also  $g : \mathscr{X} \to \mathfrak{R}$  be some function. Define

$$\gamma(i) = E[\sum_{n=0}^{T_A} g(X_n) | X_0 = i], i \in \mathscr{X}.$$

Then

$$\gamma(i) = \begin{cases} g(i), & \text{if } i \in A \end{cases}$$

### Accumulating Rewards

Let  $X_n$  be a Markov chain on  $\mathscr{X}$  with P. Let  $A \subset \mathscr{X}$ Let also  $g : \mathscr{X} \to \mathfrak{R}$  be some function. Define

$$\gamma(i) = E[\sum_{n=0}^{T_A} g(X_n) | X_0 = i], i \in \mathscr{X}.$$

Then

$$\gamma(i) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} g(i), & ext{if } i \in A \ g(i) + \sum_j P(i,j)\gamma(j), & ext{otherwise.} \end{array} 
ight.$$

### Accumulating Rewards

Let  $X_n$  be a Markov chain on  $\mathscr{X}$  with P. Let  $A \subset \mathscr{X}$ Let also  $g : \mathscr{X} \to \mathfrak{R}$  be some function. Define

$$\gamma(i) = E[\sum_{n=0}^{T_A} g(X_n) | X_0 = i], i \in \mathscr{X}.$$

Then

$$\gamma(i) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} g(i), & ext{if } i \in A \ g(i) + \sum_j P(i,j)\gamma(j), & ext{otherwise.} \end{array} 
ight.$$

Flip a fair coin until you get two consecutive Hs.

Flip a fair coin until you get two consecutive *H*s. What is the expected number of *T*s that you see?

Flip a fair coin until you get two consecutive *H*s. What is the expected number of *T*s that you see?



Flip a fair coin until you get two consecutive *H*s. What is the expected number of *T*s that you see?



$$\gamma(S) = 0 + 0.5\gamma(H) + 0.5\gamma(T)$$

Flip a fair coin until you get two consecutive *H*s. What is the expected number of *T*s that you see?



$$\gamma(S) = 0 + 0.5\gamma(H) + 0.5\gamma(T)$$
  
$$\gamma(H) = 0 + 0.5\gamma(HH) + 0.5\gamma(T)$$

Flip a fair coin until you get two consecutive *H*s. What is the expected number of *T*s that you see?



$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(S) &= 0 + 0.5\gamma(H) + 0.5\gamma(T) \\ \gamma(H) &= 0 + 0.5\gamma(HH) + 0.5\gamma(T) \\ \gamma(T) &= 1 + 0.5\gamma(H) + 0.5\gamma(T) \end{aligned}$$

Flip a fair coin until you get two consecutive *H*s. What is the expected number of *T*s that you see?



$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(S) &= 0 + 0.5\gamma(H) + 0.5\gamma(T) \\ \gamma(H) &= 0 + 0.5\gamma(HH) + 0.5\gamma(T) \\ \gamma(T) &= 1 + 0.5\gamma(H) + 0.5\gamma(T) \\ \gamma(HH) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Flip a fair coin until you get two consecutive *H*s. What is the expected number of *T*s that you see?



FSE:

$$\begin{split} \gamma(S) &= 0 + 0.5\gamma(H) + 0.5\gamma(T) \\ \gamma(H) &= 0 + 0.5\gamma(HH) + 0.5\gamma(T) \\ \gamma(T) &= 1 + 0.5\gamma(H) + 0.5\gamma(T) \\ \gamma(HH) &= 0. \end{split}$$

Solving, we find  $\gamma(S) = 2.5$ .



#### Markov Chain:

#### Markov Chain:

#### Markov Chain:

Finite set X; π<sub>0</sub>; P = {P(i,j), i, j ∈ X};
Pr[X<sub>0</sub> = i] = π<sub>0</sub>(i), i ∈ X
Pr[X<sub>n+1</sub> = j | X<sub>0</sub>,...,X<sub>n</sub> = i] = P(i,j), i, j ∈ X, n ≥ 0.
Note: Pr[X<sub>0</sub> = i<sub>0</sub>, X<sub>1</sub> = i<sub>1</sub>,...,X<sub>n</sub> = i<sub>n</sub>] = π<sub>0</sub>(i<sub>0</sub>)P(i<sub>0</sub>, i<sub>1</sub>) ··· P(i<sub>n-1</sub>, i<sub>n</sub>).

#### Markov Chain:

Finite set X; π<sub>0</sub>; P = {P(i,j), i, j ∈ X};
Pr[X<sub>0</sub> = i] = π<sub>0</sub>(i), i ∈ X
Pr[X<sub>n+1</sub> = j | X<sub>0</sub>,...,X<sub>n</sub> = i] = P(i,j), i, j ∈ X, n ≥ 0.
Note: Pr[X<sub>0</sub> = i<sub>0</sub>, X<sub>1</sub> = i<sub>1</sub>,...,X<sub>n</sub> = i<sub>n</sub>] = π<sub>0</sub>(i<sub>0</sub>)P(i<sub>0</sub>, i<sub>1</sub>) ··· P(i<sub>n-1</sub>, i<sub>n</sub>).

$$\blacktriangleright A \cap B = \emptyset;$$

#### Markov Chain:

Finite set X; π<sub>0</sub>; P = {P(i,j), i, j ∈ X};
Pr[X<sub>0</sub> = i] = π<sub>0</sub>(i), i ∈ X
Pr[X<sub>n+1</sub> = j | X<sub>0</sub>,...,X<sub>n</sub> = i] = P(i,j), i, j ∈ X, n ≥ 0.
Note: Pr[X<sub>0</sub> = i<sub>0</sub>, X<sub>1</sub> = i<sub>1</sub>,...,X<sub>n</sub> = i<sub>n</sub>] = π<sub>0</sub>(i<sub>0</sub>)P(i<sub>0</sub>, i<sub>1</sub>) ··· P(i<sub>n-1</sub>, i<sub>n</sub>).

$$\blacktriangleright A \cap B = \emptyset; \beta(i) = E[T_A | X_0 = i];$$

#### Markov Chain:

Finite set 𝔅; 𝑘₀; 𝒫 = {𝒫(i,j), i, j ∈ 𝔅};
𝒫𝑘[𝑋₀ = i] = 𝑘₀(i), i ∈ 𝔅
𝒫𝑘[𝑋ₙ+1 = j | 𝑋₀,...,𝑋ₙ = i] = 𝒫(i,j), i, j ∈ 𝔅, 𝑘 ≥ 0.
Note:
𝒫𝑘[𝑋₀ = i₀, 𝑋₁ = i₁,...,𝑋ₙ = iₙ] = 𝑘₀(i₀)𝒫(i₀, i₁) ··· 𝒫(iₙ-1, iₙ).

• 
$$A \cap B = \emptyset; \beta(i) = E[T_A | X_0 = i]; \alpha(i) = P[T_A < T_B | X_0 = i]$$
  
•  $\beta(i) = 1 + \sum_j P(i,j)\beta(j);$   
•  $\alpha(i) = \sum_j P(i,j)\alpha(j). \ \alpha(A) = 1, \alpha(B) = 0.$ 









Recall  $\pi_n$  is a distribution over states for  $X_n$ . Stationary distribution:  $\pi = \pi P$ .



Recall  $\pi_n$  is a distribution over states for  $X_n$ .

Stationary distribution:  $\pi = \pi P$ .

Distribution over states is the same before/after transition.



Recall  $\pi_n$  is a distribution over states for  $X_n$ .

Stationary distribution:  $\pi = \pi P$ .

Distribution over states is the same before/after transition.

probability entering *i*:  $\sum_{i,j} P(j,i)\pi(j)$ .



Recall  $\pi_n$  is a distribution over states for  $X_n$ .

Stationary distribution:  $\pi = \pi P$ . Distribution over states is the same before/after transition. probability entering *i*:  $\sum_{i,j} P(j,i)\pi(j)$ . probability leaving *i*:  $\pi_i$ .



```
Stationary distribution: \pi = \pi P.
Distribution over states is the same before/after transition.
probability entering i: \sum_{i,j} P(j,i)\pi(j).
probability leaving i: \pi_i.
are Equal!
```



Recall  $\pi_n$  is a distribution over states for  $X_n$ .

```
Stationary distribution: \pi = \pi P.
Distribution over states is the same before/after transition.
probability entering i: \sum_{i,j} P(j,i)\pi(j).
probability leaving i: \pi_i.
are Equal!
```

Distribution same after one step.



```
Stationary distribution: \pi = \pi P.
Distribution over states is the same before/after transition.
probability entering i: \sum_{i,j} P(j,i)\pi(j).
probability leaving i: \pi_i.
are Equal!
Distribution same after one step.
Questions?
```



Recall  $\pi_n$  is a distribution over states for  $X_n$ .

```
Stationary distribution: \pi = \pi P.
Distribution over states is the same before/after transition.
probability entering i: \sum_{i,j} P(j,i)\pi(j).
probability leaving i: \pi_i.
are Equal!
Distribution same after one step.
```

Questions? Does one exist? Is it unique?



```
Stationary distribution: \pi = \pi P.
Distribution over states is the same before/after transition.
probability entering i: \sum_{i,j} P(j,i)\pi(j).
probability leaving i: \pi_i.
are Equal!
Distribution same after one step.
Questions? Does one exist? Is it unique?
If it exists and is unique.
```



```
Stationary distribution: \pi = \pi P.
Distribution over states is the same before/after transition.
probability entering i: \sum_{i,j} P(j,i)\pi(j).
probability leaving i: \pi_i.
are Equal!
Distribution same after one step.
Questions? Does one exist? Is it unique?
If it exists and is unique. Then what?
```



```
Stationary distribution: \pi = \pi P.
Distribution over states is the same before/after transition.
probability entering i: \sum_{i,j} P(j,i)\pi(j).
probability leaving i: \pi_i.
are Equal!
Distribution same after one step.
Questions? Does one exist? Is it unique?
If it exists and is unique. Then what?
Sometimes the distribution as n \to \infty
```

# Stationary: Example

Example 1:

### Stationary: Example



### Stationary: Example



 $\pi P = \pi$


$$\pi P = \pi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1-a & a \\ b & 1-b \end{array} \right] = [\pi(1), \pi(2)]$$



$$\pi P = \pi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1-a & a \\ b & 1-b \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)]$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)(1-a) + \pi(2)b = \pi(1) \text{ and}$$



$$\pi P = \pi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1-a & a \\ b & 1-b \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)]$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)(1-a) + \pi(2)b = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(1)a + \pi(2)(1-b) = \pi(2)$$



$$\pi P = \pi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1-a & a \\ b & 1-b \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)]$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)(1-a) + \pi(2)b = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(1)a + \pi(2)(1-b) = \pi(2)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)a = \pi(2)b.$$



$$\pi P = \pi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1-a & a \\ b & 1-b \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)]$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)(1-a) + \pi(2)b = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(1)a + \pi(2)(1-b) = \pi(2)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)a = \pi(2)b.$$

These equations are redundant!



$$\pi P = \pi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1-a & a \\ b & 1-b \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)]$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)(1-a) + \pi(2)b = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(1)a + \pi(2)(1-b) = \pi(2)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)a = \pi(2)b.$$

These equations are redundant! We have to add an equation:



$$\pi P = \pi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1-a & a \\ b & 1-b \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)]$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)(1-a) + \pi(2)b = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(1)a + \pi(2)(1-b) = \pi(2)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)a = \pi(2)b.$$

These equations are redundant! We have to add an equation:  $\pi(1) + \pi(2) = 1$ .



$$\pi P = \pi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1-a & a \\ b & 1-b \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)]$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)(1-a) + \pi(2)b = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(1)a + \pi(2)(1-b) = \pi(2)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)a = \pi(2)b.$$

These equations are redundant! We have to add an equation:  $\pi(1) + \pi(2) = 1$ . Then we find



$$\pi P = \pi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1-a & a \\ b & 1-b \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)]$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)(1-a) + \pi(2)b = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(1)a + \pi(2)(1-b) = \pi(2)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(1)a = \pi(2)b.$$

These equations are redundant! We have to add an equation:  $\pi(1) + \pi(2) = 1$ . Then we find

$$\pi = [\frac{b}{a+b}, \frac{a}{a+b}].$$





 $\pi P = \pi$ 



$$\pi P = \pi \Leftrightarrow [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)]$$



$$\pi P = \pi \Leftrightarrow [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \Leftrightarrow \pi(1) = \pi(1) \text{ and}$$



$$\pi P = \pi \Leftrightarrow [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \Leftrightarrow \pi(1) = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(2) = \pi(2).$$



$$\pi P = \pi \Leftrightarrow [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \Leftrightarrow \pi(1) = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(2) = \pi(2).$$

Every distribution is invariant for this Markov chain.



$$\pi P = \pi \Leftrightarrow [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \Leftrightarrow \pi(1) = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(2) = \pi(2).$$

Every distribution is invariant for this Markov chain. This is obvious, since  $X_n = X_0$  for all *n*.



$$\pi P = \pi \Leftrightarrow [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \Leftrightarrow \pi(1) = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(2) = \pi(2).$$

Every distribution is invariant for this Markov chain. This is obvious, since  $X_n = X_0$  for all *n*. Hence,  $Pr[X_n = i] = Pr[X_0 = i], \forall (i, n)$ .



$$\pi P = \pi \Leftrightarrow [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \Leftrightarrow \pi(1) = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(2) = \pi(2).$$

Every distribution is invariant for this Markov chain. This is obvious, since  $X_n = X_0$  for all *n*. Hence,  $Pr[X_n = i] = Pr[X_0 = i], \forall (i, n)$ . **Discussion.** 



$$\pi P = \pi \Leftrightarrow [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \Leftrightarrow \pi(1) = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(2) = \pi(2).$$

Every distribution is invariant for this Markov chain. This is obvious, since  $X_n = X_0$  for all *n*. Hence,  $Pr[X_n = i] = Pr[X_0 = i], \forall (i, n)$ .

#### **Discussion.**

We have seen a chain with one stationary,



$$\pi P = \pi \Leftrightarrow [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \Leftrightarrow \pi(1) = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(2) = \pi(2).$$

Every distribution is invariant for this Markov chain. This is obvious, since  $X_n = X_0$  for all *n*. Hence,  $Pr[X_n = i] = Pr[X_0 = i], \forall (i, n)$ .

#### **Discussion.**

We have seen a chain with one stationary, and a chain with many.



$$\pi P = \pi \Leftrightarrow [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \Leftrightarrow \pi(1) = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(2) = \pi(2).$$

Every distribution is invariant for this Markov chain. This is obvious, since  $X_n = X_0$  for all *n*. Hence,  $Pr[X_n = i] = Pr[X_0 = i], \forall (i, n)$ .

#### **Discussion.**

We have seen a chain with one stationary, and a chain with many.



$$\pi P = \pi \Leftrightarrow [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\pi(1), \pi(2)] \Leftrightarrow \pi(1) = \pi(1) \text{ and } \pi(2) = \pi(2).$$

Every distribution is invariant for this Markov chain. This is obvious, since  $X_n = X_0$  for all *n*. Hence,  $Pr[X_n = i] = Pr[X_0 = i], \forall (i, n)$ .

#### Discussion.

We have seen a chain with one stationary, and a chain with many.

When is here just one?

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* 

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

Examples:

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

Examples:



**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

Examples:



[A] is

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

Examples:



[A] is not irreducible.

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

**Examples:** 



[A] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

**Examples:** 



[A] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).[B] is

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

**Examples:** 



[A] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).[B] is not irreducible.

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

**Examples:** 



[A] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).[B] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

Examples:



[A] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).[B] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).[C] is

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

Examples:



[A] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).[B] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).[C] is irreducible.

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

Examples:



[A] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).[B] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).[C] is irreducible. It can go from every *i* to every *j*.

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

**Examples:** 



[A] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).
[B] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).
[C] is irreducible. It can go from every *i* to every *j*.
If you consider the graph with arrows when P(*i*,*j*) > 0,
# Irreducibility.

**Definition** A Markov chain is irreducible if it can go from every state *i* to every state *j* (possibly in multiple steps).

**Examples:** 



[A] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).

[B] is not irreducible. It cannot go from (2) to (1).

[C] is irreducible. It can go from every *i* to every *j*.

If you consider the graph with arrows when P(i,j) > 0, irreducible means that there is a single connected component.

## Existence and uniqueness of Invariant Distribution

# Existence and uniqueness of Invariant Distribution

**Theorem** A finite irreducible Markov chain has one and only one invariant distribution.

That is, there is a unique positive vector  $\pi = [\pi(1), ..., \pi(K)]$  such that  $\pi P = \pi$  and  $\sum_k \pi(k) = 1$ .

That is, there is a unique positive vector  $\pi = [\pi(1), ..., \pi(K)]$  such that  $\pi P = \pi$  and  $\sum_k \pi(k) = 1$ .

That is, there is a unique positive vector  $\pi = [\pi(1), ..., \pi(K)]$  such that  $\pi P = \pi$  and  $\sum_k \pi(k) = 1$ .

Ok.

That is, there is a unique positive vector  $\pi = [\pi(1), ..., \pi(K)]$  such that  $\pi P = \pi$  and  $\sum_k \pi(k) = 1$ .

Ok. Now.

That is, there is a unique positive vector  $\pi = [\pi(1), ..., \pi(K)]$  such that  $\pi P = \pi$  and  $\sum_k \pi(k) = 1$ .

Ok. Now.

Only one stationary distribution if irreducible (or connected.)

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ .

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ .

Then, for all *i*,

$$rac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}\{X_m=i\} o \pi(i), ext{ as } n o \infty$$

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ .

Then, for all *i*,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i), \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

The left-hand side is the fraction of time that  $X_m = i$  during steps 0, 1, ..., n-1.

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ .

Then, for all *i*,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i), \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

The left-hand side is the fraction of time that  $X_m = i$  during steps 0, 1, ..., n-1. Thus, this fraction of time approaches  $\pi(i)$ .

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ .

Then, for all *i*,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i), \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

The left-hand side is the fraction of time that  $X_m = i$  during steps 0,1,..., n-1. Thus, this fraction of time approaches  $\pi(i)$ .

Proof: Lecture note 21 gives a plausibility argument.

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all i,  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} 1\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all i,  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} 1\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . **Example 1:** 

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all i,  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} 1\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . **Example 1:** 



**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all i,  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} 1\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . **Example 1:** 



The fraction of time in state 1

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all i,  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} 1\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . **Example 1:** 



The fraction of time in state 1 converges to 1/2,

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all i,  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} 1\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . **Example 1:** 



The fraction of time in state 1 converges to 1/2, which is  $\pi(1)$ .

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all i,  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} 1\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all i,  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} 1\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . **Example 2:** 

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all i,  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} 1\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . **Example 2:** 



**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all i,  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} 1\{X_m = i\} \to \pi(i)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . **Example 2:** 



**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible.

**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

**Answer:** Not necessarily. Here is an example:

**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

Answer: Not necessarily. Here is an example:



**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

Answer: Not necessarily. Here is an example:



Assume  $X_0 = 1$ .

**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

Answer: Not necessarily. Here is an example:



Assume  $X_0 = 1$ . Then  $X_1 = 2$ ,

**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

Answer: Not necessarily. Here is an example:



Assume  $X_0 = 1$ . Then  $X_1 = 2, X_2 = 1$ ,

**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

Answer: Not necessarily. Here is an example:



Assume  $X_0 = 1$ . Then  $X_1 = 2, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 2, ...$ 

**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

Answer: Not necessarily. Here is an example:



Assume  $X_0 = 1$ . Then  $X_1 = 2, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 2, ...$ Thus, if  $\pi_0 = [1, 0]$ ,

**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

Answer: Not necessarily. Here is an example:



Assume  $X_0 = 1$ . Then  $X_1 = 2, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 2, ...$ Thus, if  $\pi_0 = [1,0], \pi_1 = [0,1],$ 

**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

Answer: Not necessarily. Here is an example:



Assume  $X_0 = 1$ . Then  $X_1 = 2, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 2, ...$ Thus, if  $\pi_0 = [1,0], \pi_1 = [0,1], \pi_2 = [1,0],$
### Convergence to Invariant Distribution

**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

Answer: Not necessarily. Here is an example:



Assume  $X_0 = 1$ . Then  $X_1 = 2, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 2, ...$ Thus, if  $\pi_0 = [1,0], \pi_1 = [0,1], \pi_2 = [1,0], \pi_3 = [0,1]$ , etc.

### Convergence to Invariant Distribution

**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

Answer: Not necessarily. Here is an example:



Assume  $X_0 = 1$ . Then  $X_1 = 2, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 2, ...$ Thus, if  $\pi_0 = [1,0], \pi_1 = [0,1], \pi_2 = [1,0], \pi_3 = [0,1]$ , etc. Hence,  $\pi_0$  does not converge to  $\pi = [1/2, 1/2]$ .

### Convergence to Invariant Distribution

**Question:** Assume that the MC is irreducible. Does  $\pi_n$  approach the unique invariant distribution  $\pi$ ?

Answer: Not necessarily. Here is an example:



Assume  $X_0 = 1$ . Then  $X_1 = 2, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 2, ...$ Thus, if  $\pi_0 = [1,0], \pi_1 = [0,1], \pi_2 = [1,0], \pi_3 = [0,1]$ , etc. Hence,  $\pi_n$  does not converge to  $\pi = [1/2, 1/2]$ . Notice, all cycles or closed walks have even length.

**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain.

**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain. Previous example: 2.

**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain. Previous example: 2. **Definition** 

**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain. Previous example: 2. **Definition** If periodicity is 1, Markov chain is said to be aperiodic.

**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain. Previous example: 2. **Definition** If periodicity is 1, Markov chain is said to be aperiodic. Otherwise, it is periodic.

**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain. Previous example: 2. **Definition** If periodicity is 1, Markov chain is said to be aperiodic. Otherwise, it is periodic. **Example** 

**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain. Previous example: 2.

**Definition** If periodicity is 1, Markov chain is said to be aperiodic. Otherwise, it is periodic.

Example



**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain. Previous example: 2.

**Definition** If periodicity is 1, Markov chain is said to be aperiodic. Otherwise, it is periodic.

Example

[A]:



**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain. Previous example: 2.

**Definition** If periodicity is 1, Markov chain is said to be aperiodic. Otherwise, it is periodic.

Example



[A]: Closed walks of length 3 and length 4

**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain. Previous example: 2.

**Definition** If periodicity is 1, Markov chain is said to be aperiodic. Otherwise, it is periodic.

Example



[A]: Closed walks of length 3 and length 4  $\implies$  periodicity = 1.

**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain. Previous example: 2.

**Definition** If periodicity is 1, Markov chain is said to be aperiodic. Otherwise, it is periodic.

Example



[B]:

**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain. Previous example: 2.

**Definition** If periodicity is 1, Markov chain is said to be aperiodic. Otherwise, it is periodic.

Example



[A]: Closed walks of length 3 and length 4  $\implies$  periodicity = 1.

[B]: All closed walks multiple of 3

**Definition:** Periodicity is gcd of the lengths of all closed walks in irreducible chain. Previous example: 2.

**Definition** If periodicity is 1, Markov chain is said to be aperiodic. Otherwise, it is periodic.

Example



[A]: Closed walks of length 3 and length 4  $\implies$  periodicity = 1.

[B]: All closed walks multiple of  $3 \implies$  periodicity =2.

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ .

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all  $i \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

 $\pi_n(i) \rightarrow \pi(i)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all  $i \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\pi_n(i) o \pi(i)$$
, as  $n o \infty$ 

#### Example



**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ .

1

1

 $\pi = [0.5, 0.5]$ 

**Theorem** Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ . Then, for all  $i \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

 $\pi_n(i) \to \pi(i)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .

#### Example



m











• Markov Chain:  $Pr[X_{n+1} = j | X_0, ..., X_n = i] = P(i, j)$ 

- Markov Chain:  $Pr[X_{n+1} = j | X_0, ..., X_n = i] = P(i, j)$
- ► FSE:  $\beta(i) = 1 + \sum_{j} P(i,j)\beta(j)$ ;

- Markov Chain:  $Pr[X_{n+1} = j | X_0, ..., X_n = i] = P(i, j)$
- ► FSE:  $\beta(i) = 1 + \sum_{j} P(i,j)\beta(j); \alpha(i) = \sum_{j} P(i,j)\alpha(j).$

- Markov Chain:  $Pr[X_{n+1} = j | X_0, ..., X_n = i] = P(i, j)$
- ► FSE:  $\beta(i) = 1 + \sum_{j} P(i,j)\beta(j); \alpha(i) = \sum_{j} P(i,j)\alpha(j).$
- $\blacktriangleright \pi_n = \pi_0 P^n$

- Markov Chain:  $Pr[X_{n+1} = j | X_0, ..., X_n = i] = P(i, j)$
- ► FSE:  $\beta(i) = 1 + \sum_{j} P(i,j)\beta(j); \alpha(i) = \sum_{j} P(i,j)\alpha(j).$
- $\blacktriangleright \pi_n = \pi_0 P^n$
- $\pi$  is invariant iff  $\pi P = \pi$

- Markov Chain:  $Pr[X_{n+1} = j | X_0, ..., X_n = i] = P(i, j)$
- ► FSE:  $\beta(i) = 1 + \sum_{j} P(i,j)\beta(j); \alpha(i) = \sum_{j} P(i,j)\alpha(j).$
- $\blacktriangleright \pi_n = \pi_0 P^n$
- $\pi$  is invariant iff  $\pi P = \pi$
- Irreducible  $\Rightarrow$  one and only one invariant distribution  $\pi$

- Markov Chain:  $Pr[X_{n+1} = j | X_0, ..., X_n = i] = P(i,j)$
- ► FSE:  $\beta(i) = 1 + \sum_{j} P(i,j)\beta(j); \alpha(i) = \sum_{j} P(i,j)\alpha(j).$
- $\blacktriangleright \pi_n = \pi_0 P^n$
- $\pi$  is invariant iff  $\pi P = \pi$
- Irreducible  $\Rightarrow$  one and only one invariant distribution  $\pi$
- ► Irreducible  $\Rightarrow$  fraction of time in state *i* approaches  $\pi(i)$

- Markov Chain:  $Pr[X_{n+1} = j | X_0, ..., X_n = i] = P(i,j)$
- ► FSE:  $\beta(i) = 1 + \sum_{j} P(i,j)\beta(j); \alpha(i) = \sum_{j} P(i,j)\alpha(j).$
- $\blacktriangleright \pi_n = \pi_0 P^n$
- $\pi$  is invariant iff  $\pi P = \pi$
- Irreducible  $\Rightarrow$  one and only one invariant distribution  $\pi$
- ► Irreducible  $\Rightarrow$  fraction of time in state *i* approaches  $\pi(i)$
- Irreducible + Aperiodic  $\Rightarrow \pi_n \rightarrow \pi$ .

- Markov Chain:  $Pr[X_{n+1} = j | X_0, ..., X_n = i] = P(i,j)$
- ► FSE:  $\beta(i) = 1 + \sum_{j} P(i,j)\beta(j); \alpha(i) = \sum_{j} P(i,j)\alpha(j).$
- $\blacktriangleright \pi_n = \pi_0 P^n$
- $\pi$  is invariant iff  $\pi P = \pi$
- Irreducible  $\Rightarrow$  one and only one invariant distribution  $\pi$
- ► Irreducible  $\Rightarrow$  fraction of time in state *i* approaches  $\pi(i)$
- Irreducible + Aperiodic  $\Rightarrow \pi_n \rightarrow \pi$ .
- Calculating  $\pi$ : One finds  $\pi = [0, 0, ..., 1]Q^{-1}$  where  $Q = \cdots$ .

## Confirmation Bias: An experiment
There are two bags.

There are two bags.

One with 60% red balls and 40% blue balls;

There are two bags.

One with 60% red balls and 40% blue balls; the other with the opposite fractions.

There are two bags.

One with 60% red balls and 40% blue balls; the other with the opposite fractions.

One selects one of the two bags.

There are two bags.

One with 60% red balls and 40% blue balls; the other with the opposite fractions.

One selects one of the two bags.

As one draws balls one at time,

There are two bags.

One with 60% red balls and 40% blue balls; the other with the opposite fractions.

One selects one of the two bags.

As one draws balls one at time, one asks people to declare whether they think one draws from the first or second bag.

There are two bags.

One with 60% red balls and 40% blue balls; the other with the opposite fractions.

One selects one of the two bags.

As one draws balls one at time, one asks people to declare whether they think one draws from the first or second bag.

Surprisingly, people tend to be reinforced in their original belief, even when the evidence accumulates against it.

A bag with 60% red, 40% blue or vice versa.

A bag with 60% red, 40% blue or vice versa.

Each person pulls ball, reports opinion on which bag:

A bag with 60% red, 40% blue or vice versa.

Each person pulls ball, reports opinion on which bag: Says "majority blue" or "majority red."

A bag with 60% red, 40% blue or vice versa.

Each person pulls ball, reports opinion on which bag: Says "majority blue" or "majority red."

Does not say what color their ball is.

A bag with 60% red, 40% blue or vice versa.

Each person pulls ball, reports opinion on which bag: Says "majority blue" or "majority red."

Does not say what color their ball is.

What happens if first two get blue balls?

A bag with 60% red, 40% blue or vice versa.

Each person pulls ball, reports opinion on which bag: Says "majority blue" or "majority red."

Does not say what color their ball is.

What happens if first two get blue balls?

Third hears two blue, so says blue, whatever she sees.

A bag with 60% red, 40% blue or vice versa.

Each person pulls ball, reports opinion on which bag: Says "majority blue" or "majority red."

Does not say what color their ball is.

What happens if first two get blue balls?

Third hears two blue, so says blue, whatever she sees. Plus Induction.

A bag with 60% red, 40% blue or vice versa.

Each person pulls ball, reports opinion on which bag: Says "majority blue" or "majority red."

Does not say what color their ball is.

What happens if first two get blue balls?

Third hears two blue, so says blue, whatever she sees. Plus Induction.

Everyone says blue...

A bag with 60% red, 40% blue or vice versa.

Each person pulls ball, reports opinion on which bag: Says "majority blue" or "majority red."

Does not say what color their ball is.

What happens if first two get blue balls?

Third hears two blue, so says blue, whatever she sees. Plus Induction.

Everyone says blue...forever

A bag with 60% red, 40% blue or vice versa.

Each person pulls ball, reports opinion on which bag: Says "majority blue" or "majority red."

Does not say what color their ball is.

What happens if first two get blue balls?

Third hears two blue, so says blue, whatever she sees. Plus Induction.

Everyone says blue...forever ...and ever.

A bag with 60% red, 40% blue or vice versa.

Each person pulls ball, reports opinion on which bag: Says "majority blue" or "majority red."

Does not say what color their ball is.

What happens if first two get blue balls?

Third hears two blue, so says blue, whatever she sees. Plus Induction.

Everyone says blue...forever ...and ever.

Problem: Each person reported honest opinion

A bag with 60% red, 40% blue or vice versa.

Each person pulls ball, reports opinion on which bag: Says "majority blue" or "majority red."

Does not say what color their ball is.

What happens if first two get blue balls?

Third hears two blue, so says blue, whatever she sees. Plus Induction.

Everyone says blue...forever ...and ever.

Problem: Each person reported honest opinion rather than data!

In this book, Daniel Kahneman discusses examples of our irrationality.

In this book, Daniel Kahneman discusses examples of our irrationality. Here are a few examples:

In this book, Daniel Kahneman discusses examples of our irrationality. Here are a few examples:

• A judge rolls a die in the morning.

In this book, Daniel Kahneman discusses examples of our irrationality. Here are a few examples:

A judge rolls a die in the morning.
 In the afternoon, he has to sentence a criminal.

In this book, Daniel Kahneman discusses examples of our irrationality. Here are a few examples:

 A judge rolls a die in the morning. In the afternoon, he has to sentence a criminal. Statistically, morning roll high

In this book, Daniel Kahneman discusses examples of our irrationality. Here are a few examples:

A judge rolls a die in the morning.
 In the afternoon, he has to sentence a criminal.
 Statistically, morning roll high => sentence is high.

In this book, Daniel Kahneman discusses examples of our irrationality. Here are a few examples:

- A judge rolls a die in the morning.
  In the afternoon, he has to sentence a criminal.
  Statistically, morning roll high => sentence is high.
- People tend to be more convinced by articles printed in Times Roman instead of Computer Modern Sans Serif.

In this book, Daniel Kahneman discusses examples of our irrationality. Here are a few examples:

A judge rolls a die in the morning.
 In the afternoon, he has to sentence a criminal.
 Statistically, morning roll high => sentence is high.

- People tend to be more convinced by articles printed in Times Roman instead of Computer Modern Sans Serif.
- Perception illusions: Which horizontal line is longer?



In this book, Daniel Kahneman discusses examples of our irrationality. Here are a few examples:

A judge rolls a die in the morning.
 In the afternoon, he has to sentence a criminal.
 Statistically, morning roll high => sentence is high.

- People tend to be more convinced by articles printed in Times Roman instead of Computer Modern Sans Serif.
- Perception illusions: Which horizontal line is longer?



It is difficult to think clearly!

Professor,

Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

I mean, after the final.

Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

I mean, after the final.

Here is what the prof. remembers:

Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

I mean, after the final.

Here is what the prof. remembers:

Given the uncertainty around us, understand some probability.

Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

I mean, after the final.

Here is what the prof. remembers:

- Given the uncertainty around us, understand some probability.
- One key idea what we learn from observations:

Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

I mean, after the final.

Here is what the prof. remembers:

- Given the uncertainty around us, understand some probability.
- One key idea what we learn from observations: the role of the prior;
Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

I mean, after the final.

- Given the uncertainty around us, understand some probability.
- One key idea what we learn from observations: the role of the prior; Bayes' rule;

Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

I mean, after the final.

- Given the uncertainty around us, understand some probability.
- One key idea what we learn from observations: the role of the prior; Bayes' rule; Estimation;

Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

I mean, after the final.

- Given the uncertainty around us, understand some probability.
- One key idea what we learn from observations: the role of the prior; Bayes' rule; Estimation; confidence intervals...

Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

I mean, after the final.

- Given the uncertainty around us, understand some probability.
- One key idea what we learn from observations: the role of the prior; Bayes' rule; Estimation; confidence intervals... quantifying our degree of certainty.

Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

I mean, after the final.

- Given the uncertainty around us, understand some probability.
- One key idea what we learn from observations: the role of the prior; Bayes' rule; Estimation; confidence intervals... quantifying our degree of certainty.
- This clear thinking invites us to question vague statements,

Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

I mean, after the final.

- Given the uncertainty around us, understand some probability.
- One key idea what we learn from observations: the role of the prior; Bayes' rule; Estimation; confidence intervals... quantifying our degree of certainty.
- This clear thinking invites us to question vague statements, and to convert them into precise ideas.

Professor, what should I remember about probability from this course?

I mean, after the final.

- Given the uncertainty around us, understand some probability.
- One key idea what we learn from observations: the role of the prior; Bayes' rule; Estimation; confidence intervals... quantifying our degree of certainty.
- This clear thinking invites us to question vague statements, and to convert them into precise ideas.

#### Power of course.

Fight Ignorance.

#### Power of course.

Fight Ignorance. Tools for Rationality. Fight Ignorance.

Tools for Rationality.

Think it through verify what you know and you concluded carefully and completely Fight Ignorance.

Tools for Rationality.

Think it through verify what you know and you concluded carefully and completely and simply.

Professor,

Professor, I loved this course so much!

Professor, I loved this course so much! I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

Professor, I loved this course so much! I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

Funny you should ask!

Professor, I loved this course so much! I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

Funny you should ask! How about

 CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory:

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

Funny you should ask! How about

 CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

- CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.
- EE126: Probability in EECS: An Application-Driven Course:

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

- CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.
- EE126: Probability in EECS: An Application-Driven Course: PageRank, Digital Links, Tracking, Speech Recognition, Planning, etc.

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

- CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.
- EE126: Probability in EECS: An Application-Driven Course: PageRank, Digital Links, Tracking, Speech Recognition, Planning, etc. Hands on labs with python experiments (GPS, Shazam, ...).

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

- CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.
- EE126: Probability in EECS: An Application-Driven Course: PageRank, Digital Links, Tracking, Speech Recognition, Planning, etc. Hands on labs with python experiments (GPS, Shazam, ...).
- CS188: Artificial Intelligence: Hidden Markov Chains, Bayes Networks, Neural Networks.

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

- CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.
- EE126: Probability in EECS: An Application-Driven Course: PageRank, Digital Links, Tracking, Speech Recognition, Planning, etc. Hands on labs with python experiments (GPS, Shazam, ...).
- CS188: Artificial Intelligence: Hidden Markov Chains, Bayes Networks, Neural Networks.
- CS189: Introduction to Machine Learning:

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

- CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.
- EE126: Probability in EECS: An Application-Driven Course: PageRank, Digital Links, Tracking, Speech Recognition, Planning, etc. Hands on labs with python experiments (GPS, Shazam, ...).
- CS188: Artificial Intelligence: Hidden Markov Chains, Bayes Networks, Neural Networks.
- CS189: Introduction to Machine Learning: Regression, Neural Networks, Learning, etc.

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

- CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.
- EE126: Probability in EECS: An Application-Driven Course: PageRank, Digital Links, Tracking, Speech Recognition, Planning, etc. Hands on labs with python experiments (GPS, Shazam, ...).
- CS188: Artificial Intelligence: Hidden Markov Chains, Bayes Networks, Neural Networks.
- CS189: Introduction to Machine Learning: Regression, Neural Networks, Learning, etc. Programming experiments with real-world applications.

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

- CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.
- EE126: Probability in EECS: An Application-Driven Course: PageRank, Digital Links, Tracking, Speech Recognition, Planning, etc. Hands on labs with python experiments (GPS, Shazam, ...).
- CS188: Artificial Intelligence: Hidden Markov Chains, Bayes Networks, Neural Networks.
- CS189: Introduction to Machine Learning: Regression, Neural Networks, Learning, etc. Programming experiments with real-world applications.
- EE121: Digital Communication:

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

- CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.
- EE126: Probability in EECS: An Application-Driven Course: PageRank, Digital Links, Tracking, Speech Recognition, Planning, etc. Hands on labs with python experiments (GPS, Shazam, ...).
- CS188: Artificial Intelligence: Hidden Markov Chains, Bayes Networks, Neural Networks.
- CS189: Introduction to Machine Learning: Regression, Neural Networks, Learning, etc. Programming experiments with real-world applications.
- ▶ EE121: Digital Communication: Coding for communication and storage.

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

- CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.
- EE126: Probability in EECS: An Application-Driven Course: PageRank, Digital Links, Tracking, Speech Recognition, Planning, etc. Hands on labs with python experiments (GPS, Shazam, ...).
- CS188: Artificial Intelligence: Hidden Markov Chains, Bayes Networks, Neural Networks.
- CS189: Introduction to Machine Learning: Regression, Neural Networks, Learning, etc. Programming experiments with real-world applications.
- EE121: Digital Communication: Coding for communication and storage.
- EE223: Stochastic Control.

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

- CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.
- EE126: Probability in EECS: An Application-Driven Course: PageRank, Digital Links, Tracking, Speech Recognition, Planning, etc. Hands on labs with python experiments (GPS, Shazam, ...).
- CS188: Artificial Intelligence: Hidden Markov Chains, Bayes Networks, Neural Networks.
- CS189: Introduction to Machine Learning: Regression, Neural Networks, Learning, etc. Programming experiments with real-world applications.
- EE121: Digital Communication: Coding for communication and storage.
- EE223: Stochastic Control.
- EE229A: Information Theory; EE229B: Coding Theory.

Professor, I loved this course so much!

I want to learn more about discrete math and probability!

- CS170: Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems a.k.a. Introduction to CS Theory: Graphs, Dynamic Programming, Complexity.
- EE126: Probability in EECS: An Application-Driven Course: PageRank, Digital Links, Tracking, Speech Recognition, Planning, etc. Hands on labs with python experiments (GPS, Shazam, ...).
- CS188: Artificial Intelligence: Hidden Markov Chains, Bayes Networks, Neural Networks.
- CS189: Introduction to Machine Learning: Regression, Neural Networks, Learning, etc. Programming experiments with real-world applications.
- EE121: Digital Communication: Coding for communication and storage.
- EE223: Stochastic Control.
- EE229A: Information Theory; EE229B: Coding Theory.